

Mélanges chinois et bouddhiques

publiés par

l'Institut Belge
des Hautes Études Chinoises

Neuvième volume : 1948-1951

Bruxelles

Juillet 1951

Bruges, Imprimerie Sainte-Catherine, S. A.

The
VIGRAHAVYĀVARTANĪ of NĀGĀRJUNA

with the Author's Commentary

Edited by
E. H. JOHNSTON
AND
ARNOLD KUNST

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	99-101
Introduction	102-106
Abbreviations	107
Text of the Vighrahavyāvartanī	108-151
Index of kārikās	152

P R E F A C E

The present work, which is the result of a joint effort of Professor E. H. Johnston and myself, was just completed before the former's sudden and premature death at Oxford, England, in 1942. Almost immediately after Professor Johnston's death the paper was submitted to the Royal Asiatic Society, which accepted it for publication, but owing to technical and financial difficulties that arose in connection with the war and its aftermath, the manuscript lay for a number of years in the safe of the Society, which was not in a position to effect the publication. Owing to these circumstances the RAS agreed to the withdrawal of the paper; it has now found a hospitable reception by the "Mélanges Chinois et Bouddhiques", to which the writer

feels greatly indebted on behalf of the deceased and on his own behalf. It is hoped that in spite of the inevitable delay this modest contribution will still serve its purpose as an addition to the treasury of important texts.

When Professor Johnston suggested to me some years ago the joint restoration of Nāgārjuna's treatise I grasped the opportunity of rendering useful and palatable an important Buddhist text whose defects in the only available Sanskrit version edited by R. Sāṅkṛtyāyana had worried me since I first came to read it. In the course of our collaboration we used to meet two or three times a week for discussion, and after nearly two years' work the common task took shape in what is presented to the Sanskrit student as the possibly nearest approximation, as we both believed it, of Nāgārjuna's original text.

Professor Johnston's tragic death rendered impossible the joint utilization of a number of remaining sheets with his and my scribbled comments. The arrangement of the text, the introduction and the critical apparatus are the result of joint work. A few dubious points, however, had been tentatively left unsolved in the otherwise final draft with the intention to discuss and possibly insert or substitute them at some later stage. This never materialized and there was never an opportunity of discussing them together. With the purpose of presenting the text as it was left off at Johnston's death it has remained unaltered except for some minor modifications and corrections of errors. It has been also found more practical to publish the text in Roman characters rather than in Devanāgarī, in which it was originally written.

The following list, which has been prepared later, suggests therefore in addition a few supplementary adjustments and alterations which, in my opinion, render the text final, and may serve as variants to the notes originally attached to the text. The reader will make his own choice as to the preferable version.

Text p. 109, 16-17, note 13 : It may be better to maintain partly the text as conveyed in R and to read śūnyeṣu sarvabhāveṣu tvad-vacanamaśūnyam, yenāśūnyatvāt sarvabhāvasvabhāvaḥ prasiddhaḥ.

This reading seems to be the simplest and it fulfills the test of fitting into the actual discussion : “ If you maintain that, whereas all bhāvas are void, your words are not void, then by means of non-void words you prove the svabhāva of all bhāvas.” The proof arises from the exclusion of words (of which the sarvabhāvas are predicable) from the sarvabhāvas which thus stop being sarvabhāvas. I also suggest a slightly different interpretation of the meaning in the phrase on p. 110, 5, note 3 : If the pratiṣedha is valid, then the words expressing this pratiṣedha are void, and so any statement uttered by means of void words is consequently not valid.

As for kārikā 21 the cæsura between the first and second quarter falls in the middle of the word, i.e. before the locative ending of sāmagrī. A similar phenomenon occurs in kārikā 25 where the cæsura between the third and fourth quarter falls before the genitive ending of śabda.

On p. 125, 3, note 1 for preference read with R śūnyena vacanena in spite of T and Y.

In kārikā 35 (note 8) the reading samparidhaksyatyapi instead of paridhaksyatyapi seems to give a satisfactory solution to the difficulty in metre.

P. 134, 11, note 8 : Kasyacid is meant to be ambiguous. It probably alludes to the old controversy as to whether para in the parārthānumāna refers to the object being proved or to the “ other ” person for whom it is being proved. Therefore C must have meant it rather “ for ” than “ of ” a certain man.

Read kārikā 51 b : parasparato na cānyaiḥ pramāṇair vā. This reading seems to be accounted for also by the commentary ; anya fits better in the context than para.

Although the wording as given on p. 143, 10-13, notes 6-9, renders the text more lucid than it is in R, R's version could be maintained with some slight modifications, namely : tatra yadi tāvat sad, asad iti pratijñā hiyate / na hīdānīm tad asad idānīm sad / athāsad : asadbhūtasya nāma na bhavati / tasmād...

ARNOLD KUNST

INTRODUCTION

Among the minor works of Nāgārjuna the Vīgrahavyāvartanī takes a special place as an admirable illustration of his dialectical methods, as the only extant example of his prose style, and as a lucid exposition of his views on the conceptions of śūnyatā and svabhāva. While sufficient material for the study of the work has been published in recent years, it has not appeared in a form which made understanding of his arguments easy or even certain.

Tibetan translations have proved more than once invaluable help in restoring corrupt Sanskrit texts and thus it is hoped that workers in the field of Buddhist studies and Indian philosophy will find it convenient to have an edition of the Sanskrit text, which is readable and as close to the original as the materials permit ; hence this volume, providing what might perhaps be called better a restoration rather than an edition of the treatise.

Of the three available authorities the first is the Sanskrit MS., discovered by Rev. Rāhula Sāṅkṛtyāyana in the Tibetan monastery of Żalu and edited by him in an appendix to Vol. XXIII, Part III, of the Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society, referred to henceforward by the letter R. The MS: was written, probably in India, by a Tibetan in the Tibetan character and dates probably to the beginning of the XIIth century. Assuming that it has been correctly transcribed, it is incredibly corrupt, with innumerable mistakes, omissions and interpolations, and the majority of the kārīkās offend, often unnecessarily, against the rules of prosody. R corrects some of the minor mistakes (additions in round brackets), and has made additions from the Tibetan version (square brackets in text) or has given alternative readings from the same source in the footnotes ; but unfortunately these additions and alternatives often fail to reproduce correctly the information the Tibetan gives us about the state of the text it used, and in general the edition should be regarded as a copy of the MS. with little change. Next there is the Tibetan

translation, for which has been used the version published by Tucci in the Pre-Diñnāga Buddhist Texts on Logic from Chinese Sources, hereafter called *T*; though it is far from being a critical edition and has a number of passages which are corrupt or from which an essential word has dropped out, it has not been possible to go behind it, as it makes use of all the Tibetan editions available in the course of this work. Help has been however derived in doubtful passages from an excellent French translation of it, published by S. Yamaguchi in the *Journal Asiatique*, tome CCXV, pp. 1-86, hereafter called *Y*. As usual, the Tibetan text appears to be verbatim, but it has one unusual feature in that there exist two separate translations of the *kārikās*, one of which often fails to give either the text or the sense of the Sanskrit correctly¹; in the majority of cases where the differences occur the version put by Tucci in the footnotes is the more correct. Finally there is the Chinese translation, here denoted by *C*, for which has been used the text printed as No. 1631 in the Taisho Issaikyo edition of the Chinese Tripiṭaka, Vol. XXXII. It is the work of Gautama Prajñāruci and is dated 541 A.D. Much of it follows the Sanskrit closely, far more so than might appear from the translation which Tucci gave of it in the volume quoted above, but occasionally its version is so far removed from the Sanskrit and Tibetan as well as from the logical developement of the argument, that it can only be supposed either that the translator had failed to understand the original or that he was unable to express it in Chinese. The translation of the *kārikās* is in general more defective than that of the commentary.

The textual problems to be solved by this edition are of unusual complexity and no uniform rule can be rigidly applied for their solution. Inevitably *R* provides the basis for the Sanskrit, and owing to the large amount of repetition there is seldom any difficulty in settling the particular terms used or the equivalents of *T*'s and

¹ For more details cf. ARNOLD KUNST, *Kamalaśīla's Commentary on Śāntarakṣita's Anumānapariṣā of the Tattvasaṅgraha*, *Mélanges Chinois et Bouddhiques*, vol. VIII, pp. 154-155, offprint pp. 48-49.

C's versions. Thus except for minor details the text which T had before him can almost invariably be restored with certainty, but necessarily this is not the case with C, which accordingly is mainly of use for its corroboration of one of the other authorities when they differ. Where possible then, in such instances, the text confirmed by C has been accepted. In a very few cases preference was given to C over the other two, in view of its age ; the most important instance is the final clause of kārīkā 20, where the opponent states his conclusion. When C is ambiguous or when all three authorities differ among themselves, the judgement on the nature of the argument and of Nāgārjuna's highly individual style had to decide on the reading. In the result it appears that nearly all the kārīkās now are presented in the form which the author gave to them, and that too with a minimum of conjecture. The number of kārīkās has been reduced to 70, the traditional number for such works because the opponent's verse preceding 34, which has hitherto been treated as a kārīkā, is shown by the Sanskrit wording to be a quotation, while the final verse, numbered 72 in R, belongs to the commentary, not to the main work. For the commentary a similar degree of certainty is unattainable ; the best has been done so that Nāgārjuna's arguments seem to have been rendered correctly except for a few doubtful passages and two or three minor details, in particular the use of particles such as api and iti and variations between the sources in the longer or shorter statement of argument cannot be decided for good and all. Nevertheless these uncertainties, however trying such minutiae are to the editor, are not such as to impair the value of the text as a statement of Nāgārjuna's views. The apparatus criticus, unavoidably lengthy, has been kept within bounds as much as possible ; no mention is made of cases where R has made acceptable minor emendations of the MS. or where the division of the sentences has been altered. Where the text rests on T as against R, the Tibetan text is not quoted as it is easily accessible ; and when T differs from the text adopted, generally its reading is quoted only in what is taken to be the form of the Sanskrit text used by the translator. No suggestions of

amendments have been made which would be necessary to put C's text in order. C has not been quoted in full when it corroborates R or T against the other, but new translation has been occasionally given of troublesome passages. For the corrupt list of kuśala dharmas in the commentary on verse 7 it was deemed sufficient to give a general reference to the paper in the Indian Historical Quarterly, XIV, pp. 314 ff., where the complicated evidence was fully set out and discussed. Except for these cases, omissions from the apparatus, which unfortunately could not be entirely avoided, are due to oversight. In the text use is made occasionally of commas to facilitate its comprehension.

The scope of this work does not include discussion of the more general problems raised by the text, but on one or two points a few words are desirable. In the first place it is a perfect specimen of contemporary dialectics, illustrating such old descriptions as we have of philosophical disputations. Every point has to be stated in the full and every objection has to be taken in its proper order and refuted. The style accordingly is decidedly archaic in character, devoid of the allusive references and elliptic statements which often make the dialectics of a later period hard to read. The Sanskrit, in general, is good, and the few usages, to which exception might be taken, are probably due to uncorrected corruptions, for instance the curious compound avidyamānagrha in the commentary on verse 64 in the sense of "not being present in the house", and the phrase tulyam ayam aprāptaḥ, "like this (fire which dispels darkness) without coming in contact with it", in the commentary on verse 39. Specifically Buddhist words and usages are rare, the two most obvious cases being adhilaya "libel", in verse 63, and pratiśedhyato " (taking a thing) as capable of being refuted", in verse 13. The rules governing the āryā metre are found to be strictly observed when the verses are restored to their correct form, thus proving that this type of verse must have been well established for some time before the second century A.D.

While the text is divided in two parts, 20 verses setting out the

opponents' criticisms of Nāgārjuna's views and 50 verses giving his reply, the objections are not in fact all made by the same critic. The dharmāvasthāvid theorists of verse 7 are clearly Buddhist; though it is difficult to determine their school, the details in the commentary exclude the possibility of their being Sarvāstivādins, to whose theory of the dharmas much of the argument elsewhere would apply. The polemic against the validity of the Naiyāyika pramāṇas in verses 30-51 is more important, because it raises by its parallelism with Nyāyasūtras, II, i, 8-19, the question whether Adhyāya ii of that composite work was in existence when Nāgārjuna wrote. The parallelism has already been dealt with by Y in his notes, by Tucci on pp. 34 ff. of the notes on his translation, and by R in his Introduction. Without going into details it may be remarked that Vātsyāyana's bhāṣya clearly has Nāgārjuna's position in mind, but it is not obvious that either Nāgārjuna knew the sūtras or vice versa; till the matter is more fully examined all that can safely be said is that the two works reflect the dispute between the two schools at much the same stage, but not necessarily with reference by one to the other. But one point is certain and that is that Nāgārjuna took some of the Naiyāyika arguments from a different work of that school. For he quotes a verse from it just before kārīkā 34, and the Naiyāyikas evidently admitted the validity of his criticisms, since the views put forward in that verse are mentioned by Vātsyāyana only to be rejected as incorrect and are stated by Vācaspati Miśra, Tātparyatīkā on II, i, 19, to have been held by an ācāryadeśiya. It appears therefore that the verse in question is quoted from some treatise by this discredited teacher, whose name we are never likely to learn. It also seems doubtful if the Naiyāyika principle quoted in the commentary on verse 31, pramāṇato 'rthānām prasiddhiḥ, is in exact accord with the Sūtras; for the opening words of the bhāṣya on Nyāyasūtras, I, i, 1, appear to have been chosen with great care precisely with the object of evading Nāgārjuna's criticisms.

A B B R E V I A T I O N S

- C *Vigrahavyāvartanī*, Chinese translation, *Chinese Tripiṭaka*, Taisho Issaikyo, XXXII, No. 1631.
- MMK *Mūlamadhyamikakārikās*, ed. LA VALLÉE POUSSIN, Bibl. Buddh. IV.
- R *Vigrahavyāvartanī*, Sanskrit text, ed. Rāhula SĀṆKṚTYĀ-YANA, JBORS, XXIV, III.
- T *Vigrahavyāvartanī*, Tibetan translation in G. TUCCI, *Pre-Diñnāga Buddhist Texts on Logic from Chinese Sources*, Gaekwad's Or. Ser., XLIX.
- Y *Vigrahavyāvartanī*, French translation of the Tibetan translation, by S. YAMAGUCHI, *Journal Asiatique*, CCXV, pp. 1-86.
- e.c. ex conjectura.
- om. omits.

THE VIGRAHAVYĀVARTANĪ

sarveṣāṃ bhāvānāṃ sarvatra na vidyate
 svabhāvaścet/
 tvadvacanamasvabhāvaṃ na nivartayit-
 tum svabhāvamalam¹// 1 //

yadi sarveṣāṃ bhāvānāṃ hetau pratyayeṣu ca hetupratyayasā-
 magryāṃ ca pṛthak ca² sarvatra svabhāvo na vidyata iti kṛtvā
 śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti³ / na hi bīje hetubhūte 'nikuro 'sti, na
 pṛthivyaptejavāyādīnāmekaikasmin pratyayasamjñite⁴, na praty-
 yeṣu samagreṣu⁵, na hetupratyayasāmagryāṃ, na hetupratya-
 yavinirmuktaḥ pṛthageva ca⁶ / yasmādatra sarvatra⁷ svabhāvo
 nāsti tasmānniḥsvabhāvo 'nikuraḥ / yasmānniḥsvabhāvastasmāc-
 chūnyaḥ⁸ / yathā cāyamaṅkuro⁹ niḥsvabhāvo niḥsvabhāvatvācca
 śūnyastathā sarvabhāvā api¹⁰ niḥsvabhāvatvācchūnyā iti /

atra vayaṃ brūmaḥ¹¹ / yadyevam, tavāpi¹² vacanaṃ yadeta-
 chūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti tadapi śūnyam / kiṃ kāraṇam / tadapi hetau
 nāsti mahābhūteṣu samprayukteṣu viprayukteṣu vā, pratyayeṣu
 nāstyuraḥkaṇṭhausṭhahijhādantamūlatālunāsikāmūrdhaprabhṛtiṣu
 yatneṣu¹³, ubhayasāmagryāṃ nāsti¹⁴, hetupratyayavinirmuktaṃ
 pṛthageva ca¹⁵ nāsti / yasmādatra sarvatra nāsti tasmānniḥsvabhā-

¹ *asvabhāvam*, R.

² R adds *yatra*.

³ T om. *iti*.

⁴ *°samjñeti*, R.

⁵ R adds *na hetupratyayeṣu samagreṣu*.

⁶ *vā*, R.

⁷ R om. *sarvatra*, but cf. similar sentence below. T adds *ayam*.

⁸ T om. *yasmān niḥsvabhāvas*, which C has.

⁹ T om. *ayam*.

¹⁰ R om. *api*.

¹¹ T om. this sentence.

¹² T om. *api*.

¹³ *yan naiva*, R.

¹⁴ R om. *na*.

¹⁵ *vā*, R.

vam / yasmānniḥsvabhāvaṃ tasmācchūnyam¹ / tasmādanena sarva-
bhāvasvabhāvavyāvartanamaśakyam² kartum³ / na hyasatāgninā⁴
śakyam dagdhum / na hyasatā śastreṇa śakyam chettum / na
hyasatibhiradbhiḥ⁵ śakyam kledayitum / evamasatā vacanena⁶ na
śakyaḥ sarvabhāvasvabhāvapratiśedhaḥ kartum⁷ / tatra yaduktam
sarvabhāvasvabhāvaḥ pratiśiddha⁸ iti tanna /

a t h a s a s v a b h ā v a m e t a d v ā k y a m p ū r v ā⁹
h a t ā p r a t i j ñ ā t e /
v a i ṣ a m i k a t v a m t a s m i n v i ś e ṣ a h e t u ś c a
v a k t a v y a ḥ // 2 //

athāpi manyase mā bhūdeṣa doṣa iti sasvabhāvametadvākyam
sasvabhāvatvāccāsūnyam¹⁰ tasmādanena sarvabhāvasvabhāvaḥ pra-
tiśiddha¹¹ iti, atra brūmaḥ / yadyevam, yā te pūrvā¹² pratijñā
śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti hatā sā /

kiṃ cānyat / sarvabhāvāntargataṃ ca tvadvacanam / kasmāc-
chūnyeṣu sarvabhāveṣu tvadvacanamaśūnyam, yenāśūnyatvātsar-
vabhāvasvabhāvaḥ pratiśiddhaḥ¹³ / evaṃ śatkoṭiko vādaḥ prasak-
taḥ / sa punaḥ kathamiti / hanta cetpunaḥ śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvāstena

¹ niḥsvabhāvatvācchūnyam, T.

² T om. svabhāva.

³ R adds kiṃ kāraṇam, not in C.

⁴ asadagninā, R.

⁵ R om. hi.

⁶ T adds api.

⁷ R adds the gloss na śakyaḥ sarvabhāvasvabhāvo nivartayitum.

⁸ R adds sarvatra bhāvasvabhāvo vinivartate.

⁹ śrutvā, R; C as in text. Y's explanation of vaiṣamikatva by viśamavyāpti is anachronistic; here it means "discordance".

¹⁰ T has tasmāc for sasvabhāvatvāc.

¹¹ R adds sarvabhāvasvabhāvo vinivartate.

¹² R om. evam yā and pūrvā; C has the latter.

¹³ The three authorities differ hopelessly in this sentence. The text follows R, adding chūnyeṣu, which appears in both T and C, and substituting pratiśiddhaḥ for its svabhāvāprasiddhaḥ. T has approximately kasmāt? sarvabhāveṣu śūnyeṣu tatsv evam aśūnyatvāt tena sarvabhāvasvabhāvaḥ pratiśiddha iti tvadvacanam aśūnyam bhavet. C literally would give yasmāc chūnyāḥ sarvabhāvāstena tasmāt tvadvacanam śūnyam, tena śūnyatvāt sarvabhāvāpratiśedho na bhavet.

tvadvacanam śūnyam sarvabhāvāntargatatvāt ¹ / tena śūnyena pratiṣedhānupapattiḥ / tatra yaḥ pratiṣedhaḥ śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā ² iti so 'nupapannaḥ / upapannaścetpunaḥ śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti pratiṣedhastena tvadvacanamapyasūnyam / asūnyatvādanena pratiṣedho 'nupapannaḥ ³ / atha śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvāstvadvacanam cāsūnyam yena pratiṣedhaḥ, tena tvadvacanam sarvatrāsaṃgrhītam ⁴ / tatra dṛṣṭāntavirodhaḥ / sarvatra cetpunaḥ saṃgrhītam ⁵ tvadvacanam sarvabhāvāśca śūnyāstena tadapi śūnyam / śūnyatvādanena nāsti pratiṣedhaḥ / atha śūnyamasti cānena pratiṣedhaḥ śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti tena śūnyā api sarvabhāvāḥ kāryakriyāsamarthā bhaveyuh / na caitadiṣṭam / atha śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā na ca kāryakriyāsamarthā bhavanti mā bhūd dṛṣṭāntavirodha iti kṛtvā, śūnyena tvadvacanena sarvabhāvasvabhāvapratiṣedho nopapanna iti ⁶ /

kiṃ cānyat / evaṃ tadastitvād⁷vaiśamikatvaprasaṅgaḥ kiṃ-
cicchūnyam kiṃcīdaśūnyamiti / tasmīṃśca ⁸ vaiśamikatve viśe-
ṣaḥeturvaktavyo yena ⁹ kiṃcicchūnyam kiṃcīdaśūnyam syāt / sa
ca nopadiṣṭo hetuḥ / tatra yaduktam śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti tanna /
kiṃ cānyat /

m ā ś a b d a v a d i t y e t a t s y ā t t e b u d d h i r n a
c a i t a d u p a p a n n a m /

¹ R adds *tvadvacanasya*.

² *śūnyāḥ sarvabhāva*, R, which omits *so*.

³ The three authorities differ for these two sentences. The text follows C, the argument being that, if the *pratiṣedha* is valid, the words expressing it must be non-void, and since they are non-void and are included in all things, the statement that all things are void is not valid. T reads *anupapannas*, *śūnyam* and *śūnyatvāt*, which does not give as good sense. R as in text, but omitting *om apy asūnyam a^o*.

⁴ T seems to have had *sarvāntarasamgrhītam*, which is perhaps better.

⁵ R om. *saṃ*.

⁶ T om. *iti*.

⁷ T has *de skad zer na* for *tadastitvād*, the equivalent of which is not clear; C is no help, and it seems necessary to have something to show that the case contemplated is that in which the statement is non-void.

⁸ T apparently had *satī ca* for *tasmīṃśca*.

⁹ R adds *hi viśeṣaḥeturvā*.

śabdena hyatra¹ satā bhaviṣyato vāra-
ṇaṁ tasya // 3 //

syātte buddhiḥ², yathā nāma kaścīd brūyānmā śabdaṁ kārṣīriti³
svayameva śabdaṁ kuryāttena ca śabdena tasya śabdasya⁴ vyāvartanaṁ
kriyeta⁵, evameva śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti śūnyena⁶ vacanena
sarvabhāvasvabhāvasya vyāvartanaṁ kriyeta iti / atra vyaṁ
brūmaḥ / etadapyanupapannam / kiṁ kārāṇam⁷ / satā hyatra
śabdena bhaviṣyataḥ śabdasya pratiśedhaḥ kriyate / na punariha⁸
bhavataḥ satā vacanena sarvabhāvasvabhāvapratiśedhaḥ kriyate /
tava hi matena vacanamapyasat, sarvabhāvasvabhāvo 'pyasan /
tasmādayaṁ mā śabdavaditi viṣamopanyāsaḥ⁹ /

pratiśedhapratiśedho 'py¹⁰ evamiti ma-
taṁ bhavettadaśadeva /
evam tava pratijñā lakṣaṇato dūṣyate
na mama // 4 //

syātte buddhiḥ, pratiśedhapratiśedho 'py¹¹ anenaiva kalpenānu-
papannaḥ, tatra yadbhavān sarvabhāvasvabhāvapratiśedhavacanam
pratiśedhayati¹² tad¹³ anupapannamiti / atra vyaṁ brūmaḥ /
etadapyasadeva¹⁴ / kasmāt / tava hi pratijñālakṣaṇaprāptaṁ¹⁵ na

¹ T and R omit *hy*, which is required by the metre and is given by R when the verse is repeated before *kārikā* 25.

² T omits the phrase, but C has it.

³ R repeats *mā śabdaṁ kārṣīr* and omits *svayam eva śabdaṁ kuryāt*; T and C as in text.

⁴ R om. *tasya śabdasya*.

⁵ kriyate, R.

⁶ *svabhāvaśūnyena*, T.

⁷ T om. *kiṁ kārāṇam*, certified by C.

⁸ T om. *punar*.

⁹ *onyāso 'sann iti*, R, which then adds *kiṁ ca*, not in T or C.

¹⁰ *pratiśedhaye* 'py, R.

¹¹ *pratiśedhaḥ pratiśedhyo* 'py, R.

¹² T, which is not clear, apparently read *bhavataḥ... vacanapratiśedhavacanam*, omitting *pratiśedhayati*.

¹³ T adds *apy*.

¹⁴ *etadaśabdena sad eva*, R.

¹⁵ *prāpte matam*, R. C, as well as T, omits *matam*.

mama / bhavān bravīti śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti nāham¹ / pūrvakah
pakṣo na mama² / tatra yaduktam pratiṣedhapratiṣedho 'pyevam
satyanupapanna iti³ tanna /

kiṃ cānyat /

pratyakṣeṇa hi tāvadyadyupalabhya vi-
nivartayasi bhāvān /
tannāsti pratyakṣam bhāvā yenopala-
bhyan te // 5 //

yadi pratyakṣataḥ sarvabhāvānupalabhya bhavānnivartayati
śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti tad⁴anupapannam / kasmāt / pratyakṣamapi
hi pramāṇam sarvabhāvāntargatatvācchūnyam / yo bhāvān⁵upala-
bhate so 'pi śūnyāḥ / tasmāt pratyakṣeṇa⁶ pramāṇena nopalaṃ-
bhabhāvo 'nupalabdhasya ca pratiṣedhānupapattiḥ / tatra yad-
uktam⁷ śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti tadanupapannam /

syātte buddhiḥ, anumānenāgamenopamānena vā sarvabhā-
vānupalabhya⁸ sarvabhāvavyāvartanam kriyata iti, atra brūmaḥ /

anumānam pratyuktam pratyakṣeṇāga-
mopamāne ca /
anumānāgamasādhya ye 'rthā dṛṣṭānta-
sādhyaśca // 6 //

anumānopamānāgamāśca pratyakṣeṇa pramāṇena pratyuktāḥ /
yathā⁹ hi pratyakṣam pramāṇam śūnyam sarvabhāvānām śūnyā-
tvādevamanumānopamānāgamā¹⁰ api śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvānām śū-

¹ R adds *tasmāt tvatpratiññān napayāmi*.

² T omits these two sentences, which C has.

³ *pratiṣedhaḥ pratiṣedhyo* 'py evam matam iti upapannam iti, R.

⁴ T adds *api*.

⁵ *yo* 'pi sarvabhāvān, R.

⁶ T om. *pratyakṣeṇa*. This and the previous sentence appear very differently in C, which brings in *anumāna*, apparently confusing it with *upalabdhī*.

⁷ R om. *tatra yad uktam*.

⁸ T om. *sarvabhāvān*, and adds *etat* before *sarvabhāva*⁰.

⁹ *tathā*, T.

¹⁰ *anumānamopamāgamā*, R.

nyatvāt / ye¹ 'numānasādhyā arthā āgamasādhyā upamānasādhyāśca
te 'pi śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvānām śūnyatvāt / anumānopamānāga-
maīśca yo² bhāvānupalabhate so 'pi śūnyaḥ³ / tasmādbhāvānām-
upalambhābhāvo 'nupalabdhānām ca svabhāvapratiṣedhānupa-
pattiḥ / tatra yaduktam śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti tanna /
kiṃ cānyat /

kuśālānām dharmāṇām dharmāvasthāvi-
daśca manyante /
kuśalam janāḥ svabhāvaṃ⁴ śeṣeṣv-
apyeṣa viniyogaḥ // 7 //

iha janā⁵ dharmāvasthāvīdo manyante kuśālānām dharmā-
ṇamekonaviṃśaśatam⁶ / tadyathaikadeśo vijñānasya vedanāyāḥ
saṃjñāyāścetanāyāḥ sparśasya manasikārasya cchandasyādhi-
kṣasya vīryasya smṛteḥ samādheḥ prajñāyā upekṣāyāḥ prayogasya
saṃprayogasya prāpteradhyāsayasyāpratighasya ratervyavasāya-
syautsukyasyonmugdherutsāhasyāvighātasya vaśitāyāḥ pratighāta-
syāvipratīśāsyā parigrahasyāparigrahasya ... dhṛteradhyavasāya-

¹ R adds *api*.

² R adds *api*.

³ *śūnyaḥ syāt*, R; *syāt* is probably a corruption from *tasmāt*, missing at the beginning of the next sentence.

⁴ *janasvabhā*, R, omitting *vaṃ*.

⁵ R om. *janā*.

⁶ The following list of 119 qualities has been fully discussed in E. H. Johnston's, *Nāgārjuna's List of Kuśala-dharmas*, IHQ, XIV, 314-323, and therefore the full apparatus criticus which was given there is here omitted. The text of R has many corruptions and some omissions, and C gives 107 qualities only, so that the list cannot be restored in its entirety. Probable suggestions cannot be made for the following numbers, 30 (*dran pa*, T, possibly a formation from *smṛ* signifying remorse), 51 (R and T between them suggest something like *ananukūlābhyava-hāratā*), 70 (*vyavakāratā*?), 76 (possibly *middha*, not considered loc. cit., but see on 75 below) and 107 (*rddhi* or an equivalent word). The following restorations are uncertain in varying degrees, 22 (*unmugdhi*) a word not otherwise known, 26 (*pratighāta*), 28 and 29 (*parigraha* and *aparigraha*), 34 (*anunmugdhi*, cf. on 22), 71 (*dākṣya*), 75 (possibly *upayāsa* only, the rest of the word going to 76, for which see above), 80 (*adhyātmasaṃprasāda*), 102 (*manana*) and 106 (*araṇā*). The first 81 qualities are in the genitive after *ekadeśa*, because they are *kuśala* in certain aspects only, not in all.

syānautsukyasyānnumugdheranutsāhasya prārthanāyāḥ praṇidhermadasya viśayāṇāṃ viprayogasyānairyāṇikatāyā utpādasya sthiteranīyatāyāḥ samanvāgamasya jarāyāḥ paritāpasyāratervitarkasya priteḥ prasādasya ... premṇaḥ pratikūlasya pradakṣiṇagrāhasya vaiśāradyasya gauravasya citrikārasya bhakterabhakteḥ śuśrūṣāyā ādarasyānādarasya praśrabdherhāsasya vāco vispandanāyāḥ siddhasyāprasādasyāpraśrabdheḥ ... dākṣyasya sauratyasya vipratīśārasya śokasyopāyāsāyāsasya ... apradakṣiṇagrāhasya saṃśayasya saṃvarāṇāṃ pariśuddheradhyātmasaṃprasādasya bhīrutāyāḥ, śraddhā hrīrārjavamavañcanamupaśamo 'cāpalamapramādo mārḍavaṃ pratisaṃkhyānaṃ nirvairaparidāhāvamado 'lobho 'doṣo 'mohaḥ sarvajñatāpratiniḥsargo vibhavo 'patrāpyamapricchadanāṃ mananaṃ kāruṇyaṃ maitryadīnatāraṇā ... anupanāho 'nīrṣyā cetaso 'paryādānaṃ kṣāntirvyavasargo 'sauratyāṃ paribhogānvayaḥ puṇyamasamjñīsamāpattirnairyāṇikatāsarvajñatāsaṃskṛtā dharmā ityekonaviṃśasataṃ kuśalānāṃ dharmāṇāṃ ¹ kuśalaḥ svabhāvaḥ.

tathākuśalānāṃ dharmāṇāmakuśalaḥ ² svabhāvaḥ, nivṛtāvyākṛtānāṃ ³ nivṛtāvyākṛtaḥ ⁴, prakṛtāvyākṛtānāṃ prakṛtāvyākṛtaḥ ⁵, kāmoktānāṃ kāmoktaḥ, rūpoktānāṃ rūpoktaḥ, ārūpyoktānāṃ ārūpyoktaḥ, anāsravāṇāmanāsravaḥ, duḥkhasamudayanīrodhamārgoktānāṃ duḥkhasamudayanīrodhamārgoktaḥ ⁶, bhāvanāprahātavyānāṃ bhāvanāprahātavyaḥ, aprahātavyānāmaprahātavyaḥ ⁷ / yasmādevamanekaprakāro dharmasvabhāvo dṛṣṭastasmādyaduktaṃ ⁸ niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā niḥsvabhāvatvācchūnyā iti tanna / kiṃ cānyat /

¹ R om. *dharmāṇāṃ*; °śatasya would be better.

² R om. *dharmāṇāṃ*.

³ R om. *nivṛtāvyākṛtānāṃ*.

⁴ T adds *svabhāvaḥ*, which C omits.

⁵ *anivṛtāvyākṛtānāṃ anivṛtāvyākṛtaḥ svabhāvaḥ*, T; but C supports R, suggesting however *prakṛtyo* or *prākṛtāo*. The category cannot be recognized.

⁶ R gives these four separately, *duḥkhoktānāṃ duḥkhoktaḥ* etc., against C and T.

⁷ C om. *aprahātavyānāṃ aprahātavyaḥ*, and R adds *prahātavyānāṃ prahātavyaḥ*.

⁸ R adds *iha* after *tasmā*.

nairyāṇikasvabhāvo dharmā¹ nairyāṇi-
kāśca ye teṣāṃ /
dharmāvasthoktānāmevamanairyāṇikādī-
nām² // 8 //

iha ca dharmāvasthoktānām³ nairyāṇikānām dharmānām nairyā-
ṇikaḥ svabhāvaḥ, anairyāṇikānāmanairyāṇikaḥ⁴, bodhyaṅgikānām
bodhyaṅgikaḥ, abodhyaṅgikānāmbodhyaṅgikaḥ, bodhipakṣikā-
nām⁵ bodhipakṣikaḥ, abodhipakṣikānāmbodhipakṣikaḥ / evam-
api⁶ śeṣānām / tadyasmād⁷evamanekaprakāro dharmānām sva-
bhāvo dṛṣṭastasmād⁸yadyuktaṃ niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā niḥsva-
bhāvatvācchūnyā iti tanna /

kiṃ cānyat /

yadi ca na bhavetsvabhāvo dharmānām
niḥsvabhāva ityeva⁹ /
nāmāpi bhavennaivam nāma hi¹⁰ nir-
vastukaṃ nāsti // 9 //

yadi sarvadharmānām svabhāvo na bhavettatrāpi niḥsvabhāvo
bhavet / tatra niḥsvabhāva ityevam nāmāpi na bhavet / kasmāt /
nāma hi nirvastukaṃ kiṃcidapi nāsti / tasmānnāmasadbhāvātstva-
bhāvo bhāvānāmasti svabhāvasadbhāvāccāśūnyāḥ¹¹ sarvabhāvāḥ /
tasmādyaduktam¹² niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā niḥsvabhāvatvācchū-
nyā iti tanna /

¹ *dharmo*, R.

² *eva ca nair*^o, R; C also shows *evam*.

³ *dharmo* 'vastho', R; C omits the entire compound.

⁴ T adds *svabhāvaḥ*, and C adds the same word after each item.

⁵ R's *bodhipākṣika* is contrary to Buddhist usage.

⁶ R om. *api*.

⁷ *tasmād*, T, for *tad yasmād*.

⁸ *yasmād*, R.

⁹ *ity evam*, R.

¹⁰ *nāmāpi*, R, against the metre; cf. the repetition of the verse before *kārikā* 57.

¹¹ R omits most of this passage from the beginning of the commentary up to here, probably passing from the first *svabhāvo* to the second; it runs: *yadi dharmā-
nām svabhāvo bhāvānām svabhāvānām sadbhāvāc cāśūnyāḥ*. This is restored
from T, and C agrees in sense.

¹² *tatra yad uktam*, R.

kiṃ cānyat /

atha vidyate svabhāvaḥ sa ca dhar-
māṇām na vidyate tasmāt/
dharmairvinā svabhāvaḥ sa yasya¹ tad-
yuktamupadeṣṭum // 10 //

atha manyase mā bhūdavastukaṃ nāmeti kṛtvāsti svabhāvaḥ,
sa punardharmāṇām² na sambhavati, evaṃ dharmaśūnyatā
niḥsvabhāvatvāddharmāṇām siddhā³ bhaviṣyati, na ca nirvastukaṃ
nāmeti, atra vayaṃ brūmaḥ / evaṃ yasyedānīm⁴ sa svabhāvo
dharmavinirmuktasyārthasya sa⁵ yuktamupadeṣṭumarthaḥ / sa ca
nopadiṣṭaḥ / tasmādyā kalpanāsti svabhāvo na sa⁶ punardhar-
māṇāmiti sā hīnā /

kiṃ cānyat /

sata eva pratiṣedho nāsti ghaṭo geḥa
ityayaṃ yasmāt/
dṛṣṭaḥ pratiṣedho 'yaṃ sataḥ svabhā-
vasya te tasmāt // 11 //

iha ca sato 'rthasya pratiṣedhaḥ kriyate nāsataḥ / tadyathā nāsti
ghaṭo geḥa iti sato ghaṭasya pratiṣedhaḥ kriyate nāsataḥ / evameva
nāsti svabhāvo⁷ dharmāṇāmiti sataḥ svabhāvasya pratiṣedhaḥ
prāpnoti nāsataḥ / tatra yaduktam niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā⁸ iti
tanna / pratiṣedhasambhavādeva sarvabhāvasvabhāvo 'pratiṣid-
dhaḥ⁹ /

kiṃ cānyat /

¹ *yasyāsti*, R, against the metre; cf. the repetition of the verse before kārīkā 60.

² *sarvadharmāṇām*, T.

³ *niḥsvabhāvatvam* and *siddham*, R.

⁴ *kasyedānīm*, R.

⁵ *tatra*, R, for *sa*.

⁶ R om. *sa*.

⁷ R om. *sva*.

⁸ R adds *niḥsvabhāvatvāc chūnyā*, not in C or T.

⁹ *°svabhāvaḥ prasiddhaḥ*, T; C's equivalent is not clear, but it certainly did not have *prasiddhaḥ*.

atha nāsti sa svabhāvaḥ kiṃ nu prati-
 śidhyate tvayānena/
 vacanenarte vacanātpatiśedhaḥ sidhy-
 ate hyasataḥ // 12 //

atha nāstyeva sa svabhāvo ¹ 'nena vacanena niḥsvabhāvāḥ ²
 sarvabhāvā ³ iti kiṃ bhavatā pratiśidhyate / asato hi ⁴ vacanādvina ⁵
 siddhaḥ patiśedhaḥ, tadyathāgneḥ śaityasya, apāmauṣṇyasya /
 kiṃ cānyat /

bālānāmiva mithyā mṛgatṛṣṇāyām yathā-
 jalagrāhaḥ ⁶ /
 evaṃ mithyāgrāhaḥ syātte patiśedhy-
 ato ⁷ hyasataḥ // 13 //

syātte buddhiḥ, yathā bālānām mṛgatṛṣṇāyām mithyā jalamiti
 grāho bhavati, nanu ⁸ nirjalā sā mṛgatṛṣṇeti tatra paṇḍitajātīyena
 puruṣeṇocyate tasya grāhasya ⁹ vinivartanārtham, evaṃ niḥsva-
 bhāveṣu yaḥ svabhāve grāhaḥ ¹⁰ sattvānām tasya vyāvartanārtham
 niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā ityucyata iti ¹¹, atra brūmaḥ /

nanvevaṃ satyasti grāho grāhyaṃ ca
 tadgrahītā ¹² ca /
 patiśedhaḥ patiśedhyam patiśeddha
 ceti śaṭkaṃ tat // 14 //

¹ R om. *atha* and *sa* and adds *iti* after *svabhāvo*.

² T om. *svabhāvāḥ*, possibly owing to a misprint.

³ R om. *sarva*.

⁴ T has *evam* for *hi*.

⁵ *vināpi*, T.

⁶ In view of the commentary on verse 66, *yathājālagrāhaḥ* must be a compound here.

⁷ *patiśidhyato*, R. Translate according to the common use of *-tas*, "Thus would be your misconception of the non-existing as something that can be refuted."

⁸ T om. *nanu*.

⁹ *mithyāgrāhasya*, R.

¹⁰ Should the reading be *svabhāvagrāhaḥ*?

¹¹ T om. *ucyata iti*, which is given also by C.

¹² *tadgrhītaṃ*, R.

yadyevaṃ¹, asti tāvatsattvānām grāhaḥ², asti grāhyam, santi
ca tadgrahītāraḥ³, asti pratiṣedhastasyāpi mithyāgrāhasya, asti
pratiṣedhyaṃ yadidaṃ⁴ mithyāgrāho⁵ nāma, santi ca⁶ pratiṣed-
dhāro yuṣmadādayo 'sya grāhasyeti⁷ siddhaṃ ṣaṭkam / tasya
ṣaṭkasya prasiddhatvād⁸yaduktam śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti tanna /

atha naivāsti grāho naiva⁹ grāhyam
na ca grahītāraḥ/
pratiṣedhaḥ pratiṣedhyaṃ pratiṣeddhāro
nānu¹⁰ na santi // 15 //

atha mā bhūdeṣa doṣa iti kṛtvā naiva grāho 'sti naiva grāhyam
na ca grahītāra ityevaṃ sati grāhasya yaḥ¹¹ pratiṣedho niḥsvabhāvāḥ
sarvabhāvā iti so 'pi nāsti, pratiṣedhyamapi nāsti, pratiṣeddhāro
'pi na santi /

pratiṣedhaḥ pratiṣedhyaṃ pratiṣeddhā-
raśca yadyuta na santi/
siddhā hi sarvabhāvāsteṣāmeva¹² sva-
bhāvāśca // 16 //

yadi ca na pratiṣedho na pratiṣedhyaṃ na pratiṣeddhāraḥ
santyapratīṣiddhāḥ sarvabhāvā asti ca sarvabhāvānām¹³ svabhāvāḥ/
kiṃ cānyat /

¹ R adds *nanv eva saty*.

² *mithyāgrāhaḥ*, R; *grāho* 'pi, T.

³ *santi satvā grahītāraḥ*, R.

⁴ T om. *yad* and adds *api*.

⁵ *grāhyam*, R.

⁶ R om. *ca*.

⁷ *mithyāgrāhasyeti*, R.

⁸ *ṣaṭkasyāpy aprasiddhatvāt*, R, omitting *tasya*; *aprasiddhatvād*, T; C shows
siddha.

⁹ *na ca*, R, against the metre; cf. the commentary.

¹⁰ e.c.: *sya tu*, R, which C and T omit.

¹¹ R om. *yaḥ*.

¹² *yeṣāmevaṃ*, R.

¹³ T om. *sarva*, which C has.

hetośca te¹ na siddhirnaiḥsvābhāvvyāt²
 kuto hi te hetuḥ/
 nirhetukasya siddhirna copapannāsyate
 rthasya // 17 //

niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā ityetasminnartho te hetorasiddhiḥ /
 kiṃ kāraṇam / niḥsvabhāvatvāddhi sarvabhāvānām śūnyatvāttato³
 hetuḥ kutaḥ / asati hetau nirhetukasyārthasya śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā
 iti kuta eva prasiddhiḥ / tatra yaduktam śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti
 tanna /
 kiṃ cānyat /

yadi cāhetuḥ siddhiḥ svabhāvavinivartanasya te bhavati/
 svābhāvyaśāstitvam mamāpi nirhetukaṃ
 siddham // 18 //

atha manyase nirhetukī⁴ siddhirniḥsvabhāvatvasya bhāvānāmiti
 yathā tava svabhāvavinivartanam⁵ nirhetukaṃ siddham tathā
 mamāpi svabhāvasadbhāvo⁶ nirhetukaḥ siddhaḥ⁷ /

atha hetorastitvam bhāvāsvābhāvya⁸-
 ityanupapannam /
 lokaṣu niḥsvabhāvo⁹ na hi kaścana
 vidyate bhāvāḥ // 19 //

¹ *hetos tato*, R; cf. the repetition of the verse before kārīkā 68.

² *naiḥsvābhāvya* is an odd form; the length of the second syllable is guaranteed by the metre. In the four occurrences in MMK, the text has *naiḥsvābhāvya* twice, and *naiḥsvabhāvya* twice, but none of them occur in a verse.

³ *śūnyatvān na tato*, R, which omits *kutaḥ*, but C as well as T has *kutaḥ*.

⁴ *nairhetukī*, R; T adds *tatra*.

⁵ R om. *vi*, but cf. the kārīkā.

⁶ R adds 'pi.

⁷ T adds a gloss, *mamāpiti mamāsti*.

⁸ *bhāvanaiḥsvabhāvya*, R, against the metre.

⁹ *loke naiḥsvabhāvvyāt*, R, but T and the commentary show *niḥsvabhāvo*; *lokeṣu* is uncertain, as T does not show the plural, and perhaps therefore *loke* 'pi.

yadi hetorastitvaṃ manyase¹ niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā iti,
tadanupapannam / kiṃ kāraṇam / na hi loka niḥsvabhāvāḥ kaścīd-
bhāvo 'sti /

kiṃ cānyat /

pūrvam cetpratiśedhaḥ paścātpatiśe-
dhyamityanupapannam² /

paścāccānupapanno³ yugapacca yataḥ
svabhāvāḥ san⁴ // 20 //

iha pūrvam cetpratiśedhaḥ paścacca pratiśedhyamiti⁵ nopapannam / asati hi pratiśedhye kasya pratiśedhaḥ / atha paścātpatiśedhaḥ pūrvam pratiśedhyamiti ca⁶ nopapannam / siddhe hi pratiśedhye kiṃ pratiśedhaḥ karoti / atha yugapatpratiśedhaḥ pratiśedhya iti⁷ tathāpi na pratiśedhaḥ pratiśedhyasyārthasya kāraṇam⁸, pratiśedhyo na pratiśedhasya ca, yathā yugapadutpannayoh śaśaviṣṇāyornaiva⁹ dakṣiṇam savyasya kāraṇam savyam vā dakṣiṇasya kāraṇam bhavatīti¹⁰ / tatra yaduktam niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā iti tanna /

¹ This sentence may not be in order; it would improve it to put *manyase* before *hetor* and add *ca* after *niḥsvabhāvāḥ*. R inserts *iha* at the beginning, and C seems to have had *niḥsvabhāvāḥ* before *hetor*. T is ambiguous, but probably had *bhāvānām niḥsvabhāvānām eva* (or possibly in the locative) before *hetor* and also *eva* after *astitvam*. The argument is that "if you suppose that the cause exists in reality and that all things (which include the cause) are without essence (so that the cause is at the same time really existent and without essence), that argument is not valid."

² *iti ca nopapannam*, R, against the metre and the reading in the repetition before *kārikā* 69.

³ R om. *ccā*, but see the repetition.

⁴ *svabhāvo* 'san, R and T; but C's reading followed above is unquestionably correct as giving the opponent's final conclusion. *Yataḥ* here means "and therefore", a common use at the close of a verse.

⁵ R adds *niḥsvabhāvayam* and T *niḥsvabhāvam* before *iti*, an obvious interpolation which C omits.

⁶ T om. *ca*.

⁷ T om. *iti*.

⁸ R adds *prati na*.

⁹ C omits *śaśa*, possibly rightly, as the argument applies equally well to real horns.

¹⁰ T om. *iti*.

atrocyate / yattāvadbhavatoktaṃ
sarveṣāṃ bhāvānāṃ sarvatra na vidyate svabhāvaścet /
tvadvacanamasvabhāvaṃ na nivartayitum svabhāvamalamiti //
atra brūmaḥ /

hetupratyayasāmagryāṃ ca prṥthak cāpi¹
madvaco na yadi/
nanu śūnyatvaṃ siddhaṃ bhāvānām-
asvabhāvatvāt // 21 //

yadi madvaco hetau nāsti mahābhūteṣu² samprayukteṣu vipra-
yukteṣu vā³, pratyayeṣu nāstyuraḥkaṇṭhauṣṭhājihvādantamūlatālu⁴-
nāsikāmūrdhaprabhṛtiṣu yatneṣu, nobhayasāmagryāmasti⁵, hetu-
pratyayasāmagrīvinirmuktaṃ prṥthageva ca nāsti⁶, tasmānniḥsva-
bhāvaṃ⁷, niḥsvabhāvatvācchūnyam / nanu⁸ śūnyatvaṃ siddhaṃ
niḥsvabhāvatvādasya madīyavacasaḥ / yathā caitanmadvacanaṃ
niḥsvabhāvatvācchūnyam tathā sarvabhāvā api⁹ niḥsvabhāva-
tvācchūnyā¹⁰ iti / tatra¹¹ yadbhavatoktaṃ tvadiyavacasaḥ śūnya-
tvācchūnyatā sarvabhāvānāṃ nopapadyata iti tanna /

kiṃ cānyat /

yaśca pratītyabhāvo bhāvānāṃ śūnyateti
sā proktā¹² /
yaśca¹³ pratītyabhāvo bhavati hi tasya-
asvabhāvatvaṃ // 22 //

¹ R omits the first *ca*, then reads *prthagbhāve* 'pi against the metre.

² *he nāsti mātoḥābhūteṣu*, R.

³ *vāpi*, T.

⁴ *°kaṇṭhojīhvādantatālu*^o, R.

⁵ R om. *yatneṣu nobhayasā*.

⁶ *prthag vāsti*, R.

⁷ *°bhāvā*, R.

⁸ R adds *evam* before *nanu*.

⁹ R om. *api*.

¹⁰ *chūnyam*, R.

¹¹ R om. *tatra*.

¹² R om. *bhāvo* and *sā proktā*; the restoration of the last word (*brjod*, T) is not certain.

¹³ R om. *yaśca*.

śūnyatārthaṃ ca bhavān¹ bhāvānāmanavasāya pravṛtta upālam-
bhaṃ vaktuṃ tvadvacanasya niḥsvabhāvatvādbhāvānām² svabhā-
vapratīṣedho nopapadyata iti / iha hi yaḥ pratītyabhāvo bhāvānām³
sā śūnyatā / kasmāt / niḥsvabhāvatvāt / ye hi pratītyasamutpannā
bhāvās te na sasvabhāvā bhavanti svabhāvābhāvāt / kasmāt⁴ /
hetupratyayasāpekṣatvāt⁵ / yadi hi svabhāvato bhāvā bhaveyuh,
pratyākhyāyāpi hetupratyayaṃ ca⁶ bhaveyuh / na caivaṃ bhavanti /
tasmānniḥsvabhāvā niḥsvabhāvatvācchūnyā ityabhidhīyante / evaṃ
madīyamapi vacanaṃ pratītyasamutpannatvānniḥsvabhāvaṃ⁷
niḥsvabhāvatvācchūnyamityupapannam / yathā ca pratītyasamut-
pannatvāt svabhāvaśūnyā api⁸ rathapaṭaḥṭādayaḥ sveṣu sveṣu
kāryeṣu kāṣṭhatṛṇamṛttikāharaṇe madhūdakapayasām dhāraṇe śīta-
vātātāparitṛṇaprabhṛtiṣu vartante⁹, evamidaṃ¹⁰ madīyavacanam
pratītyasamutpannatvān¹¹niḥsvabhāvamapi¹² niḥsvabhāvatvaprasā-
dhane bhāvānām¹³ vartate / tatra yaduktam niḥsvabhāvatvāt
tvadīyavacanasya śūnyatvaṃ, śūnyatvāttasya ca tena¹⁴ sarvabhā-
vasvabhāvapratīṣedho nopapanna iti tanna /

¹ *bhāvān*, R.

² The text is uncertain; it seems correct to follow T as reproducing the wording of kārikā 1. R reads *tvadvacanasya śūnyatvāt tvadvacanasya niḥsvabhāvatvād evaṃ tvadvacanena niḥsvabhāvena bhāvānām*. C suggests an original *tvadvacanam śūnyam niḥsvabhāvatvāt, tena niḥsvabhāvena bhāvānām*, which finds some confirmation in the last sentence of the commentary on this verse.

³ R reads *pratītya bhāvānām bhāvāḥ*.

⁴ *tasmāt*, R; C om. *kasmāt*.

⁵ *°pratyayāpekṣa°*, R.

⁶ Should *ca* be omitted?

⁷ *°samutpannam tasmān niḥsvabhāvam*, T.

⁸ R om. *api*.

⁹ For the restoration of this sentence it is advisable to follow C, which gives the text, except that it appears to read *°prabhṛtiparitrāṇe*. T om. *ratha, kāṣṭha-tṛṇamṛttikā* and *prabhṛtiṣu*. R has *kāṣṭhāṇamṛttikāharaṇam ... dhāraṇam*. Better perhaps *rathaghaṭapaṭādayaḥ*, as suggested by T and the order of the following locatives.

¹⁰ T om. *idaṃ*, substituting probably *api*.

¹¹ *°pratyayasamut°*, R.

¹² R om. *api*.

¹³ *sādhanaṃ pratyayabhāvānām*, R.

¹⁴ T om. *śūnyatvaṃ śūnyatvāt tasya ca tena*, but C apparently had the text also.

kiṃ cānyat /

nirmitako nirmitakaṃ māyāpuruṣaḥ svamāyayā sṛṣṭam /
pratiśedhayeta¹ yadvat pratiśedho 'yaṃ
tathaiva syāt // 23 //

yathā nirmitakaḥ puruṣo 'nyam nirmitakaṃ puruṣam kas-
miṃścidarthe vartamānaṃ² pratiśedhayet, māyākāreṇa vā sṛṣṭo
māyāpuruṣo 'nyam māyāpuruṣam svamāyayā sṛṣṭam³ kasmiṃścid-
arthe vartamānaṃ pratiśedhayet, tatra yo nirmitakaḥ puruṣaḥ
pratiśidhyate so 'pi⁴ śūnyaḥ / yaḥ pratiśedhayati so 'pi śūnyaḥ⁵ /
yo māyāpuruṣaḥ pratiśidhyate so 'pi śūnyaḥ / yaḥ pratiśedhayati
so 'pi⁶ śūnyaḥ / evameva madvacanena śūnyenāpi⁷ sarvabhāvā-
nām svabhāvapratiśedha upapannaḥ / tatra yadbhavatoktaṃ⁸
śūnyatvāttadvacanasya sarvabhāvasvabhāvapratiśedho nopapanna
iti tanna / tatra yo bhavatā⁹ ṣaṭkoṭiko vāda uktaḥ so 'pi tenaiva¹⁰
pratiśiddhaḥ / naiva hyevaṃ sati na sarvabhāvāntargataṃ madva-
canam, nāstyaśūnyam¹¹, nāpi sarvabhāvāśūnyāḥ¹² /

yatpunarbhavatoktaṃ

atha sasvabhāvametaadvākyaṃ pūrvā hatā pratijñā te /

vaiśamikatvaṃ tasmin viśeṣahetuśca vaktavya iti //

¹ *pratiśedhayate*, R; but grammar and the commentary require the optative. For the verse cf. MMK, xvii, 31, 32.

² So T, adding the necessary *anyam* from C. R has *yathā nirmitakaḥ puruṣam abhyāsataṃ tu kaścid arthena vartamānaṃ*.

³ T omits *svamāyayā sṛṣṭam*, which should be quoted here from the verse; it is probably the phrase underlying R's reading, *māyāpuruṣa samanyāva tan na*. C omits the phrase both in the verse and here.

⁴ R om. *api*.

⁵ R om. *śūnyaḥ* / *yo*.

⁶ R om. *pratiśidhyate so 'pi śūnyaḥ* / *yaḥ pratiśedhayati so 'pi*.

⁷ R om. *api*.

⁸ T om. *bhavatā*.

⁹ R om. *tatra yo bhavatā*.

¹⁰ *sa evaṃ*, R.

¹¹ *nāsti śūnyam*, R.

¹² *śūnyaḥ*, R.

atrāpi brūmaḥ /

na svābhāvika metadvākyaṃ tasmānna
vāda hānirme /
nāsti ca vaiśamikatvaṃ viśeṣa hetuśca
na nigadyaḥ // 24 //

na tāvanmamaitadvacanam¹ pratītyasamutpannatvāt svabhāvo-
papannam / yathā pūrvamuktaṃ svabhāvānupapannatvācchūnyam-
iti² / yasmāccedamapi madvacanam śūnyam śeṣa api sarva-
bhāvāḥ³ śūnyāḥ, tasmānnāsti vaiśamikatvaṃ / yadi hi vyaṃ
brūma idaṃ vacanamaśūnyam śeṣāḥ sarvabhāvāḥ śūnyā iti tato
vaiśamikatvaṃ syāt⁴ / na caitadevam / tasmānna vaiśamikatvaṃ /
yasmācca vaiśamikatvaṃ na sambhavatīdaṃ vacanamaśūnyam
śeṣāḥ punaḥ⁵ sarvabhāvāḥ śūnyā iti, tasmādasambhaviśeṣahe-
turna⁶ vaktavyo 'nena hetunedam⁷ vacanamaśūnyam sarva-
bhāvāḥ punaḥ⁸ śūnyā iti / tatra yadbhavatoktaṃ⁹ vādahāniste
vaiśamikatvaṃ ca viśeṣa hetuśca tvayā vaktavya iti tanna /

yatpunarbhavatoktaṃ¹⁰

mā śabdavadityetatsyātte buddhirna caitadupapannam /

śabdena hyatra satā bhaviṣyato vāraṇam tasyeti //

atra brūmaḥ /

mā śabdavaditi nāyaṃ dr̥ṣṭānto yastvayā
samārabdhaḥ /

śabdena¹¹ tacca śabdasya vāraṇam nai-
vamevaitat¹² // 25 //

¹ R om. *etad*; possibly *na tāvad etan madvacanam*.

² R om. *iti*.

³ T om. *sarva*, but has it in the next sentence.

⁴ T adds *api*.

⁵ T om. *punaḥ*.

⁶ T om. *viśeṣa*.

⁷ R om. *hetunā*.

⁸ T om. *punaḥ*.

⁹ T om. *bhavatā*.

¹⁰ T om. *bhavatā*.

¹¹ R adds *hi* against the metre; alternatively read *śabdena hi tac chabdasya*.

¹² *naiva me vacaḥ*, R.

nāpyayamasmākaṃ dṛṣṭāntaḥ / yathā kaścinmā śabdaṃ kārṣīriti
bruvan śabdameva karoti śabdaṃ ca pratiśedhayati, tadvat tacchū-
nyam vacanam na ¹ śūnyatām pratiśedhayati / kiṃ kāraṇam / atra
hi dṛṣṭānte śabdena śabdasya vyāvartanam kriyate / na caitadevam /
vayaṃ brūmo niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā niḥsvabhāvatvācchūnyā
iti ² / kiṃ kāraṇam /

n a i ḥ s v ā b h ā v y ā n ā ṃ c e n n a i ḥ s v ā b h ā v y e n a ³
v ā r a ṇ a ṃ y a d i h i /
n a i ḥ s v ā b h ā v y a n i v ṛ t t a u s v ā b h ā v y a ṃ h i
p r a s i d d h a ṃ s y ā t // 26 //

yathā mā śabdaṃ kārṣīriti ⁴ śabdena śabdasya vyāvartanam
kriyate, evaṃ yadi naiḥsvābhāvyena vacanena naiḥsvābhāvyānām
bhāvānām ⁵ vyāvartanam kriyate tato 'yaṃ dṛṣṭānta upapannaḥ
syāt / iha tu naiḥsvābhāvyena vacanena bhāvānām svabhāva-
pratiśedhaḥ kriyate / yadi ⁶ naiḥsvābhāvyena vacanena bhāvānām ⁷
naiḥsvābhāvyapraṭiśedhaḥ kriyate naiḥsvābhāvyapraṭiśiddhatvād-
eva ⁸ bhāvāḥ ⁹ sasvabhāvā bhaveyuh / sasvabhāvatvādaśūnyāḥ
syuh / śūnyatām ca vayaṃ bhāvānāmācakṣmahe nāśūnyatāmity-
adrṣṭānta evāyāmiti ¹⁰ /

a t h a v ā n i r m i t a k ā y ā ṃ y a t h ā s t r i y ā ṃ
s t r i y a m i t y a s a d g r ā h a ṃ ¹¹ /

¹ So T, supplying *de* before *ltar* in Tucci's text, as Y's translation shows he had it; *yadvat śūnyena vacanena*, R.

² *tvāt tad aśūnyam iti*, R.

³ Here and several times more in this passages R has *naiḥsvabhāvya*.

⁴ R om. *kārṣīr*.

⁵ R om. *bhāvānām*.

⁶ R inserts *evaṃ* before *yadi*.

⁷ *niḥsvabhāvānām*, R.

⁸ So T clearly; °*pratiśedhād eva*, R.

⁹ R om *bhāvāḥ*.

¹⁰ T omits *iti* in both occurrences here.

¹¹ e.c.: *striyam*, R; T om. *iyam*. R misprints *asaṅgrāham*; similarly in the commentary.

nirmitakāḥ pratihanyāt¹ kasyacidevaṃ
bhavedetat // 27 //

athavā yathā² kasyacitpuruṣasya nirmitakāyāṃ striyāṃ svabhā-
vaśūnyāyāṃ paramārthataḥ strīyamityasadgrāhaḥ³ syāt, evaṃ⁴
tasyāṃ tenāsadgrāheṇa sa⁵ rāgamutpādayet /⁶ tathāgatena vā
tathāgataśrāvakeṇa⁷ vā nirmitako nirmitaḥ syāt / tathāgatā-
dhiṣṭhānena vā tathāgataśrāvakādhiṣṭhānena vā⁸ sa⁹ tasya tama-
sadgrāhaṃ vinivartayet / evameva nirmitakopamena śūnyena
madvacanena¹⁰ nirmitakastrīsadṛṣeṣu¹¹ sarvabhāveṣu niḥsvabhāveṣu
yo 'yaṃ svabhāvagrāhaḥ sa¹² nivartyate / tasmādayamatra dṛṣṭāntaḥ
śūnyatāprasādhanaṃ pratyupapadyamāno¹³ netaraḥ /

athavā sādhyasamo 'yaṃ heturna hi
vidyate dhvaneḥ sattā /
saṃvyavahāraṃ ca vayaṃ nānabhyupa-
gamyā kathayāmaḥ // 28 //

mā śabdavaditi sādhyasama evāyaṃ hetuḥ / kasmāt / sarva-
bhāvānāṃ naiḥsvabhāvyenāviśiṣṭatvāt¹⁴ / na hi tasya dhvaneḥ
pratītyasamutpannatvāt svabhāvasattā vidyate / tasyāḥ¹⁵ svabhā-
vasattāyā avidyamānatvadyaduktam

śabdena hyatra satā bhaviṣyato vāraṇaṃ tasyeti tadvyāhanyate /

¹ C omits *nirmitakāḥ pratihanyāt* in translating the verse.

² R om. *yathā*.

³ *striyam*, R.

⁴ T om. *evaṃ*.

⁵ R om. *sa*.

⁶ R inserts *tad yathā*.

⁷ *tacchrāvakeṇa*, R, but C supports T.

⁸ T omits the reference to *adhiṣṭhāna*, but C has it.

⁹ R om. *sa*.

¹⁰ R om. *mad*.

¹¹ *sādṛṣyeṣu*, R, which omits *sarvabhāveṣu*.

¹² T om. *ayam* and *sa*. R adds the gloss, *sa pratiśidhyate*.

¹³ *upapadyamāno* is odd, but occurs again at the end of the commentary on the next verse.

¹⁴ *naiḥsvabhāvyenā*, R.

¹⁵ T om. *tasyāḥ* and has *evam* or *tathā* instead.

api ca na vyaṃ vyavahārasatyamanabhyupagamyā vyavahāra-
 satyaṃ ¹ pratyākhyāya kathayāmaḥ śūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti / na hi
 vyavahārasatyamanāgamyā śakyā dharmadeśanā kartum / yathoktaṃ
 vyavahāraśritya paramārtho na deśyate /
 paramārthamanāgamyā nirvāṇaṃ nādhigamyata iti ² //
 tasmānmadvacanavacchūnyāḥ sarvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvānāṃ ca
 niḥsvabhāvatvamubhayathopadyamānamiti /
 yatpunarbhavatoktaṃ
 pratiśedhapratiśedho ³ 'pyevamiti mataṃ bhavet tadasadeva
 evaṃ tava pratijñā lakṣaṇato dūṣyate na mameti //
 atra brūmaḥ /

yadi kācana pratijñā syānme tata eṣa ⁴
 me bhaveddoṣaḥ /
 nāsti ca mama pratijñā tasmānnaivāsti
 me doṣaḥ // 29 //

yadi ca kācinmama pratijñā syāt tato mama pratijñālakṣaṇa-
 prāptatvātpūrvako ⁵ doṣo yathā tvayoktastathā ⁶ mama syāt / na
 mama kācidasti pratijñā / tasmāt sarvabhāveṣu śūnyeṣvatyantopa-
 śānteṣu prakṛtīvivikteṣu kutaḥ pratijñā ⁷ / kutaḥ pratijñāla-
 kṣaṇaprāptiḥ ⁸ / kutaḥ pratijñālakṣaṇaprāptikṛto ⁹ doṣaḥ / tatra
 yadbhavatoktaṃ ¹⁰ tava ¹¹ pratijñālakṣaṇaprāptatvāttavaiva doṣa
 iti tanna /

¹ T om. *vyavahārasatyam*, which C has as well as R. R transposes *anabhyu-*
pagamyā and *pratyākhyāya*.

² This verse is *MMK*, xxiv, 10.

³ *pratiśedhaḥ pratiśedhyo*, R.

⁴ *tatra syāt eṣa*, R; the verse is quoted *MMK*, p. 16, where the editor reads *eva*
 against the MSS.

⁵ R inserts *sa* before *pūrvako*; T may have read *pūrvamāgamo*.

⁶ *tvayoktaṃ bhāvāḥ tathā*, R. T om. *mama*.

⁷ R om. *kutaḥ pratijñā*.

⁸ T om. *prāptiḥ*, which is shown by C, and it adds *api*.

⁹ T seems to have had *lakṣaṇasaṃbhavaś ca*. Would *ḥkṛte* be better?

¹⁰ T om. *bhavatā*.

¹¹ R om. *tava*.

yatpunarbhavatoktaṃ
 pratyakṣeṇa hi tāvadyadyupalabhya vinivartayasi ¹ bhāvān /
 tannāsti pratyakṣaṃ bhāvā yenopalabhyante //
 anumānaṃ pratyuktaṃ pratyakṣeṇāgamopamāne ca /
 anumānāgamasādhyā ye 'rthā dṛṣṭāntasādhyāśceti //
 atra vayaṃ brūmaḥ /

y a d i k i m c i d u p a l a b h e y a m ² p r a v a r t a y e y a m
 n i v a r t a y e y a m v ā /
 p r a t y a k ṣ ā d i b h i r a r t h a i s t a d a b h ā v ā n m e ' n u -
 p ā l a m b h a ḥ // 30 //

yadyahaṃ kaṃcidarthamupalabheyam ³ pratyakṣānumānopamā-
 nāgamaiścaturbhiḥ ⁴ pramāṇaiścaturṇām vā pramāṇānāmanyata-
 mena ⁵, ata eva ⁶ pravartayeyam vā nivartayeyam vā / yathārtham-
 evāhaṃ kaṃcinnopalabhe ⁷ tasmānna pravartayāmi na nivartayāmi /
 tatraivaṃ sati yo bhavatopālambha ukto yadi pratyakṣādīnām
 pramāṇānāmanyatamenopalabhya bhāvānvinivartayasi ⁸ nanu tāni ⁹
 pramāṇāni na santi taiśca pramāṇairapi ¹⁰ gamyā arthā na santīti ¹¹
 sa me bhavatyevānupālambhaḥ /

kiṃ cānyat /

y a d i c a p r a m ā ṇ a t a s t e ¹² t e ṣ ā m t e ṣ ā m
 p r a s i d d h i r a r t h ā n ā m /

¹ R om *vi*.

² *upalabheya* would be better here and in the commentary; the verse is quoted MMK, p. 16.

³ *kiṃcid*, R.

⁴ R om. *mānāgamaiś ca*.

⁵ *anyatamānyatamena*, R.

⁶ *evaṃ*, R.

⁷ R's MS. omits *nivartayeyam vā* / *yathā*, and then has *artham evāhaṃ kiṃcin nopalabhate*.

⁸ R adds *iti*.

⁹ *bhavatoktāni*, R.

¹⁰ T om. *pramāṇair api*.

¹¹ R om. *na santi*.

¹² R om. *te*; T omits one *teṣām* and adds *eva*, as if reading *pramāṇata eva bhavatas teṣām*.

teṣāṃ punaḥ prasiddhiṃ brūhi katham
te¹ pramāṇānām // 31 //

yadi ca pramāṇatasteṣāṃ² teṣāmarthānām prameyāṇām prasiddhiṃ³ manyase yathā mānairmeyānām⁴, teṣāmidānīm pratyakṣānumānopamānāgamānām caturṇām pramāṇānām kutaḥ prasiddhiḥ / yadi tāvanniṣpramāṇānām pramāṇānām syātprasiddhiḥ⁵, pramāṇato 'rthānām prasiddhiriti hiyate pratijñā / tathāpi⁶ /

anyairyadi pramāṇaiḥ pramāṇasiddhir-
bhavettadanavasthā⁷ /

yadi punarmanyase pramāṇaiḥ prameyāṇām prasiddhisteṣāṃ pramāṇānāmanyaiḥ pramāṇaiḥ prasiddhirevamanavasthāprasaṅgaḥ⁸ / anavasthāprasaṅge ko doṣaḥ⁹ /

nādeḥ siddhistatrāsti naiva madhyasya
nāntasya // 32 //

¹⁰anavasthāprasaṅga ādeḥ siddhirnāsti / kiṃ kāraṇam / teṣāmapi

¹ *teṣāṃ*, R.

² T omits one *teṣāṃ* and has *eva* instead.

³ R om. *pra*.

⁴ R inserts *tathā*.

⁵ So R, after substituting *pramāṇānām* for *pramāṇair* and cutting out an interpolation which consists of 32 *cd* and the first words of the commentary on it. C is word for word the same as the text, but T, which is corrupt at the end, reads *yadi tāvat teṣāṃ pramāṇānām anyaiḥ pramāṇaiḥ prasiddhiḥ syāt*, or *prasiddhir na syāt*, according as one reads *yod par hgrub la* or *med par hgrub la*. The argument is that according to the Naiyāyika system the principle is that *arthas* can only be proved by *pramāṇas*; but the *pramāṇas* are themselves *arthas*, and therefore if they are not proved by other *pramāṇas*, the principle does not hold. But this is repeated under *kārikā* 33, and the text seems to have already been out of order by C's time, as it has the argument of 32 *ab* in the commentary under 30 and does not treat 32 as a *kārikā*. It might therefore be better to omit the entire sentence. Note that Vātsyāyana in the opening of his *bhāṣya* on the *Nyāyasūtras* puts the function of the *pramāṇas* in different language, so that his statements could not be twisted in the way Nāgārjuna twists his opponent's views here.

⁶ *athāpi*, T.

⁷ e.c.: *bhavaty anavasthā*, R, one mora short. The optative is required, but T gives no help for the missing syllable.

⁸ R om. *evam*; *ity evam* would be better.

⁹ T adds *tatraivaṃ brūmaḥ*.

¹⁰ R adds *asya* at the beginning.

hi pramāṇānāmanyaiḥ pramāṇaiḥ prasiddhisteṣāmanyairiti¹ nāsty-
ādiḥ / āderasadbhāvāt kuto madhyaṃ kuto 'ntaḥ / tasmātteṣāṃ
pramāṇānāmanyaiḥ pramāṇaiḥ prasiddhiriti yaduktaṃ tannopa-
padyata² iti /

teṣāmatha pramāṇairvinā prasiddhirvi-
hīyate vādaḥ /
vaiśamikatvaṃ tasminvīśeṣa hetuśca vak-
tavyaḥ // 33 //

atha manyase teṣāṃ pramāṇānāṃ vinā pramāṇaiḥ³ prasiddhiḥ,
prameyānāṃ punararthānāṃ pramāṇaiḥ prasiddhiriti, evaṃ sati
yaste vādaḥ pramāṇaiḥ prasiddhirarthānāṃ iti sa⁴ hīyate / vaiśa-
mikatvaṃ ca bhavati keṣāṃcidarthānāṃ pramāṇaiḥ prasiddhiḥ
keṣāṃcinneti / viśeṣa hetuśca vaktavyo yena hetunā keṣāṃcidarthā-
nāṃ pramāṇaiḥ prasiddhiḥ keṣāṃcinneti / sa ca nopadiṣṭaḥ⁵ /
tasmādiyamapi kalpanā nopapanneti⁶ /

atrāha / pramāṇānyeva⁷ svātmānaṃ parātmānaṃ ca prasā-
dhayanti / yathoktaṃ

dyotayati svātmānaṃ yathā hutāśastathā parātmānaṃ /

svaparātmānāvevaṃ prasādhayanti pramāṇāniti //

yathāgniḥ svātmānaṃ parātmānaṃ ca prakāśayati tathaiva pra-
māṇāni prasādhayanti⁸ svātmānaṃ parātmānaṃ ceti /

atrocyate /

viśamopanyāso 'yaṃ na hyātmānaṃ pra-
kāśayatyagniḥ /

na hi tasyānupalabdhirḍṣṭā tamasīva
kumbhasya // 34 //

¹ T has *atra* for *iti*.

² R om. *iti yad uktaṃ tan*, but C supports T.

³ T adds *api*.

⁴ R om. *sa*.

⁵ *sā ca nopadiṣṭā*, R.

⁶ T om. *iyam* and *iti*.

⁷ R adds *mama*.

⁸ R omits from *iti* at the end of the verse to *prasādhayanti* inclusive, having simply *param iva*.

viṣama evopanyāso 'gnivat pramāṇāni svātmānaṃ ca prasādhayanti parātmānaṃ ca prasādhayantīti ¹ / na hyagnirātmānaṃ prakāśayati ² / yathā prāgevāgninā prakāśitastamasi kumbho nopalabhyate 'thottarakālamupalabhyate ³ 'gninā prakāśitaḥ san, evameva yadyaparakāśitaḥ prāgagnistamasi syād⁴uttarakālamagneḥ prakāśanaṃ syāt, ataḥ svātmānaṃ prakāśayet / na caitadevam ⁵ / tasmādiyamapi kalpanā nopapadyata iti ⁶ /

kiṃ cānyat /

yadi ca ⁷ svātmānamayaṃ tvadvacanena prakāśayatyagniḥ /
paramiva nanvātmānaṃ paridhakṣyatyapi
hutāśaḥ ⁸ // 35 //

yadi ca tvadvacanena yathā parātmānaṃ prakāśayatyagnir-
evameva svātmānamapi prakāśayati ⁹, nanu yathā parātmānaṃ
dahatyevameva svātmānamapi dhakṣyati ¹⁰ / na caitadevam / tatra
yaduktam parātmānamiva svātmānamapi ¹¹ prakāśayatyagnirit
tanna /

kiṃ cānyat /

yadi ca svaparātmānau tvadvacanena
prakāśayatyagniḥ /
pracchādayiṣyati tamaḥ svaparātmānau
hutāśa iva ¹² // 36 //

yadi ca bhavato matena svaparātmānau prakāśayatyagniḥ,

¹ T has merely *svaparātmānau prasādhayantīti*.

² R adds *yadi hi* at the beginning.

³ T om. *atha*.

⁴ *yady agninā na prakāśitaḥ prāg agnir naḥ syād*, R.

⁵ R om. *ca*.

⁶ T om. *tasmād* and *iti*, R *nopapadyanta*.

⁷ R omits *ca* required by the metre; cf. the commentary.

⁸ So R, leaving the line two morae short; T does not give any extra word. See p. 3 supra.

⁹ R adds *agnir iti*.

¹⁰ R adds *iti*.

¹¹ R om. *api*.

¹² Cf. MMK, VII, 12.

nanvidānīm tatpratipakṣabhūtaṃ tamo ¹ 'pi svaparātmānau chā-
dayet / na caitad dṛṣṭam ² / tatra yaduktaṃ svaparātmānau prakā-
śayatyagniriti tanna /

kiṃ cānyat /

nāsti tamaśca jvalane yatra ca tiṣṭhati
parātmāni ³ jvalanaḥ /
kurute katham prakāśaṃ sa hi prakāśo
'ndhakāravadhah // 37 //

iha cāgnau nāsti tamo nāpi ca yatrāgnistatrāsti tamaḥ / prakāśaśca
nāma tamasaḥ pratighātaḥ / yasmāccāgnau ⁴ nāsti tamo nāpi ca
yatrāgnistatrāsti tamaḥ, tatra kasya ⁵ tamasaḥ pratighātamagniḥ
karoti yasya pratighātādagñiḥ ⁶ svaparātmānau prakāśayatīti ⁷ /
atrāha ⁸ / nanu ⁹ yasmādevaṃ ¹⁰ nāgnau tamo 'sti nāpi yatrāgnis-
tatra tamo 'sti, tasmādeva ¹¹ svaparātmānau na prakāśayatyagniḥ
kutaḥ ¹² / tena hyutpadyamānenaivāgninā tamasaḥ pratighātaḥ ¹³ /
tasmānnāgnau tamo 'sti nāpi yatrāgnistatra tamo 'sti, yasmādut-
padyamāna evobhayaṃ prakāśayatyagniḥ svātmānaṃ parātmānaṃ
ceti / atrocyate /

utpadyamāna eva prakāśayatyagniritya-
sadvādaḥ /

¹ *nanv idānīm pratipakṣabhūtataṃ*, R; T omits *idānīm* and *tamo*; C has *tamo*.

² So C; *naitad iṣṭam*, R; *na caitad evam*, T.

³ *sadātmani*, R; *gṛān na* (= *paratra*), T; "and in the place where (fire) itself and another are present", C. For the verse cf. MMK, VII, 9.

⁴ e.c.; *tasmāc*, T; "if", C. R omits from *yasmāc* to *tatrāsti tamaḥ* inclusive.

⁵ *katham asya*, R.

⁶ R om. *agniḥ*.

⁷ T om. *iti*.

⁸ R om. *atra*.

⁹ R substitutes *yat* for *nanu*.

¹⁰ T omits *evam*, which C has.

¹¹ *yasmād evaṃ*, R; T has dropped a word and may have had *yasmād eva* or *tasmād eva*, but the latter alone is possible.

¹² R puts *kutaḥ* at the end of next sentence, but T shows a question and C has no negative, so that the text reading alone meets the case.

¹³ *pratigrahaḥ*, R.

utpadyamāna eva prāpnoti tamo na hi
hutāśaḥ¹ // 38 //

ayamagnirutpadyamāna eva prakāśayati svātmānaṃ parātmānaṃ
ceti nāyamupapadyate vādaḥ / kasmāt / na hyutpadyamāna
evāgnistamaḥ prāpnoti, aprāptatvānnaivopahanti tamasaścānupa-
ghātānnāsti prakāśaḥ /

kiṃ cānyat /

aprāpto 'pi jvalano yadi vā punarandha-
kāramupahanyāt /
sarveṣu lokadhātuṣu tamo 'yamiha²
saṁsthito hānyat³ // 39 //

athāpi manyase 'prāpto 'pyagnirandhakāramupahantīti nanv-
idānīmiha⁴ saṁsthito 'gniḥ sarvalokadhātusthamupahaniṣyati
tamastulyamayamaprāptaḥ⁵ / na caitadevaṃ dṛṣṭam⁶ / tasmād-
aprāpyaivāgnirandhakāramupahantīti yadiṣṭam tanna /

kiṃ cānyat /

yadi svataśca⁷ pramāṇasiddhiranapekṣya
tava⁸ prameyāṇi /
bhavati pramāṇasiddhirna parāpekṣā
svataḥ siddhiḥ⁹ // 40 //

yadi cāgnivat svataḥ pramāṇasiddhiriti manyase, anapekṣyāpi
prameyānarthān¹⁰ pramāṇānāṃ prasiddhirbhaviṣyati¹¹ / kiṃ kāra-

¹ Cf. MMK, VII, 10.

² ya iha, T.

³ saṁsthita upahanyāt, R, against the metre. Cf. MMK, VII, 11, for the verse.

⁴ T om. idānīm.

⁵ tulyāyām aprāptaḥ, R; this use of *tulyam* seems to have no parallel, but there is no other way of reconstructing T from R, as the palaeographically better *tulyo* 'yam is hardly possible.

⁶ T om. dṛṣṭam, which C has.

⁷ yadi ca svataḥ, R, against the metre.

⁸ te, R, against the metre.

⁹ parāpekṣā hi siddhir iti, R; C, which apparently misunderstood the verse, has *svataḥ* twice. Cf. with the arguments of verses 40-50, MMK, X, 8-12.

¹⁰ prameyāṇi, R.

¹¹ R om. *pra* and adds *iti* at the end.

ṇaṃ / na hi svataḥ siddhiḥ ¹ paramapekṣate / athāpekṣate na
svataḥ siddhiḥ ² /

atrāha yadi nāpekṣante prameyānarthān pramāṇāni ko doṣo
bhaviṣyatīti / atrocyate /

a n a p e k ṣ y a h i p r a m e y ā n a r t h ā n y a d i t e
p r a m ā ṇ a s i d d h i r i t i ³ /

n a b h a v a n t i k a s y a c i d e v a m i m ā n i t ā n i ⁴
p r a m ā ṇ ā n i // 41 //

yadi prameyānarthānanapekṣya prasiddhirbhavati⁵ pramāṇānām-
ityevaṃ tānīmāni⁶ pramāṇāni na kasyacit pramāṇāni⁷ bhavanti /
evaṃ doṣaḥ / atha kasyacidbhavanti⁸ pramāṇāni naivedānīmana-
pekṣya prameyānarthān pramāṇāni bhavanti /

a t h a m a t a m a p e k ṣ y a s i d d h i s t e ṣ ā m i t y a t r a
b h a v a t i k o d o ṣ a ḥ ⁹ /

s i d d h a s y a s ā d h a n a ṃ s y ā n n ā s i d d h o ' p e -
k ṣ a t e h y a n y a t // 42 //

athāpi matamapekṣya prameyānarthān pramāṇānām siddhir-
bhavatīti, evaṃ¹⁰ siddhasya pramāṇacatuṣṭayasya sādhanam bha-
vati¹¹ / kiṃ kāraṇam¹² / na hyasiddhasyārthasyāpekṣaṇam bhavati /
na hyasiddho devadattaḥ kaṃcidarthamapekṣate / na ca siddhasya
sādhanaṃ kṛtasya kāraṇānupapatteriti ¹³ /

¹ R om. *siddhiḥ*.

² *prasiddhiḥ*, R.

³ e.c.; *pramāṇasiddhir bhavati*, R, against the metre. T does not show *iti*, but cf. the commentary.

⁴ R om. *tāni*.

⁵ *siddhir*, R.

⁶ R om. *evaṃ*; T om. *tāni* and adds *te* instead.

⁷ T adds *api*.

⁸ T adds *arthasya* after *kasyacid*, but C evidently did not have it, as it understands *kasyacid* to mean "of a certain man".

⁹ *iti a bhavato ko doṣaḥ*, R.

¹⁰ R adds *hi sati* and T *te*.

¹¹ R adds *iti*.

¹² T omits *kiṃ kāraṇam*, which C has.

¹³ *kāraṇam anupa*^o, R. T om. *iti*.

kiṃ cānyat /

sidhyanti hi prameyāṇyapekṣya yadi sarva-
thā pramāṇāni /

bhavati prameyasiddhirnāpekṣyaiva¹ pra-
māṇāni // 43 //

yadi prameyāṇyapekṣya pramāṇāni sidhyanti nedāniṃ pra-
māṇyapekṣya prameyāṇi sidhyanti / kiṃ kāraṇam / na hi
sādhyaṃ sādhanam sādhayati sādhanāni ca kila prameyāṇaṃ
pramāṇāni² /

kiṃ cānyat /

yadi ca prameyasiddhirnāpekṣyaiva³
bhavati pramāṇāni /

kiṃ te pramāṇasiddhyā tani yadartham
prasiddham tat // 44 //

yadi ca manyase 'napekṣyaiva pramāṇāni prameyāṇaṃ prasid-
dhirbhavatīti kimidāniṃ⁴ te pramāṇasiddhyā paryanviṣṭayā / kiṃ
kāraṇam / yadartham hi tāni pramāṇāni paryanviṣyeraṇ te prameyā
arthā vināpi⁵ pramāṇaiḥ siddhāḥ / tatra kiṃ⁶ pramāṇaiḥ kṛtyam /

atha tu pramāṇasiddhirbhavatyape-
kṣyaiva te prameyāṇi /

vyatyaya evaṃ sati te dhruvam pra-
māṇaṃ prameyāṇāni // 45 //

athāpi⁷ manyase 'pekṣyaiva prameyānarthān pramāṇāni bhavan-
tīti⁸ mā bhūtpūrvoktadoṣa iti kṛtvā, evaṃ te sati vyatyayaḥ

¹ *anapekṣyaiva*, R, against the metre and commentary.

² *pramāṇānām prameyāṇi*, T, but C also has the text.

³ *anapekṣyaiva*, R, against the metre, but *nāpekṣya* here must be understood as a compound equivalent to *anapekṣya*.

⁴ ^{or} *bhavatīti kim i*^o are apparently missing in R's MS. (Owing to damage at the end of the line?)

⁵ R om. *api*.

⁶ T adds *te*, which is not in C.

⁷ R om. *api*.

⁸ R omits *iti* and adds *evaṃ hi sati*.

pramāṇaprameyāṇāṃ bhavati / pramāṇāni te prameyāṇi bhavanti
prameyaiḥ sādhitatvāt ¹ / prameyāṇi ca pramāṇāni ² bhavanti
pramāṇānāṃ sādhatvāt /

atha te pramāṇasiddhyā prameyasiddhiḥ
prameyasiddhyā ca /
bhavati pramāṇasiddhirnāstyubhayasyāpi
te siddhiḥ // 46 //

atha manyase pramāṇasiddhyā prameyasiddhirbhavati pramāṇā-
pekṣatvāt ³ prameyasiddhyā ca pramāṇasiddhirbhavati prameyā-
pekṣatvāditi, evaṃ te satyubhayasyāpi siddhirna bhavati ⁴ / kiṃ
kāraṇam ⁵ /

sidhyanti hi pramāṇairyadi prameyāṇi
tāni taireva /
sādhyaṇi ca prameyaistāni katham sād-
hayiṣyanti // 47 //

yadi hi pramāṇaiḥ prameyāṇi sidhyanti tāni ca ⁶ pramāṇāni
taireva prameyaiḥ sādhyitavyāni nanvasiddheṣu prameyeṣu kāra-
ṇasyāsiddhatvādasiddhāni katham sādhayiṣyanti prameyāṇi ⁷ /

sidhyanti ca prameyairyadi pramāṇāni
tāni taireva /
sādhyaṇi ca pramāṇaistāni ⁸ katham
sādhayiṣyanti // 48 //

yadi ca ⁹ prameyaiḥ pramāṇāni sidhyanti tāni ca prameyāṇi
taireva pramāṇaiḥ sādhyitavyāni ¹⁰ nanvasiddheṣu pramāṇeṣu
kāraṇasyāsiddhatvādasiddhāni katham sādhayiṣyanti pramāṇāni /

¹ *prasādhitatvāt*, T.

² R interchanges *prameyāṇi* and *pramāṇāni*.

³ T may read *pramāṇāpekṣayā*, and similarly at the end of the next clause.

⁴ R om. *bhavati*.

⁵ R om. *kiṃ kāra*.

⁶ R om. *ca*.

⁷ R adds *iti*.

⁸ *prameyaistāni*, R.

⁹ R om. *ca*.

¹⁰ R adds *iti*.

pitṛā yadyutpādyah putro yadi tena
caiva putreṇa/
utpādyah sa yadi pitā vada tatrotpā-
dayati kaḥ kam // 49 //

yathāpi nāma ¹ kaścid brūyātpitṛā putra utpādaniyah sa ca pitā
tenaiva ² putreṇotpādaniya iti, tatredānīm ³ brūhi kena ka ut-
pādayitavya iti ⁴ / tathaiva khalu ⁵ bhavān bravīti pramāṇaiḥ
prameyāṇi sādhayitavyāni tānyeva ca punaḥ ⁶ pramāṇāni taireva ⁷
prameyairiti ⁸, tatredānīm ⁹ te katamaiḥ katamāni sādhayitavyāni ¹⁰ /

kaśca pitā kaḥ putrastatra tvam brūhi ¹¹
tāvubhāvapi ca/
pitṛputralakṣaṇadharau yato bhavati no
'tra saṁdehaḥ ¹² // 50 //

tayośca pūrvopadiṣṭayoḥ pitṛputrayoḥ ¹³ katarah putrah katarah
pitā / ubhāvapi tāvutpādakavāt pitṛlakṣaṇadharāvutpādyatvācca
putralakṣaṇadharau / atra naḥ saṁdeho bhavati katarastatra pitā
katarah ¹⁴ putra iti / evameva yānyetāni bhavataḥ pramāṇaprameyāṇi
tatra katarāṇi pramāṇāni katarāṇi prameyāṇi / ubhayānyapi hyetāni
sādhakatvāt ¹⁵ pramāṇāni ¹⁶ sādhyatvāt prameyāṇi ¹⁷ / atra naḥ

¹ T omits *nāma*, and R adds *yad* before *yathāpi*.

² R om. *tenaiva*.

³ T om. *idānīm*.

⁴ R om. *iti*.

⁵ T om *khalu*.

⁶ T om. *ca* or *punaḥ*.

⁷ R om. *r eva*.

⁸ R om. *iti*, and T adds *sādhayitavyāni* before it.

⁹ T om. *idānīm*.

¹⁰ *prasādhayitavyāni*, T.

¹¹ R adds *katham*.

¹² *yato na putrasaṁdehaḥ*, R, against the metre and commentary; T has *tato* for *yato*.

¹³ *pitāputrayoḥ vada*, R.

¹⁴ R adds *tatra*.

¹⁵ R omits *sādhakatvāt*, and T has *prasādhakatvāt*.

¹⁶ R adds *tāni prameyāṇi*.

¹⁷ R adds *iti*.

saṃdeho bhavati katarāṇyatra¹ pramāṇāni katarāṇi prameyāṇīti² /

naiva svataḥ prasiddhirna parasparataḥ
parapramāṇairvā³ /

na⁴ bhavati na ca prameyairna cāpya-
kasmāt pramāṇānām // 51 //

na svataḥ prasiddhiḥ pratyakṣasya tenaiva pratyakṣeṇa, anumā-
nasya tenaivānumānena, upamānasya tenaivopamānena, āgamasya
tenaivāgamena / nāpi parasparataḥ pratyakṣasyānumānopamānā-
gamaiḥ, anumānasya pratyakṣopamānāgamaiḥ, upamānasya pra-
tyakṣānumānāgamaiḥ, āgamasya pratyakṣānumānopamānaiḥ / nāpi
pratyakṣānumānopamānāgamānāmanyaiḥ⁵ pratyakṣānumānopamā-
nāgamairiyathāsvam / nāpi prameyaiḥ samastavyastaiḥ svaṣiṣayapa-
raṣayasaṃgrhītaiḥ⁶ / nāpyakasmāt / nāpi⁷ samuccayenaiteṣāṃ⁸
kāraṇānām pūrvoddiṣṭānām viṃsattriṃśaccatvāriṃśatṣaṭviṃśater-
vā⁹ / tatra yaduktaṃ¹⁰ pramāṇādhighamyatvāt prameyāṇām bhā-
vānām santi ca te¹¹ prameyā bhāvāstāni ca pramāṇāni yaiste¹²
pramāṇaiḥ prameyā bhāvāḥ¹³ samadhigatā iti tanna /

yatpunarbhavatoktam /

kuśalānām dharmāṇām dharmāvasthāvidaśca manyante /

kuśalaṃ janāḥ svabhāvaṃ¹⁴ śeṣeṣvapyeṣa viniyoga iti //

atra brūmaḥ /

¹ T om. *atra*.

² T om. *iti*.

³ R om. *para*.

⁴ R om. *na*.

⁵ °gamād anyaiḥ, R.

⁶ viśa[ya]tāgrhītaiḥ, R.

⁷ nāsti, R.

⁸ Both C and T take *nāpi samuccayena* as a separate clause and evidently began the next one with *naiteṣāṃ*, but this seems bad sense.

⁹ °viṃśatir vā, R. If C and T's division is accepted, one should read *viṃśati-
bhir* (palaeographically better than °viṃśatyā).

¹⁰ T adds *bhavatā*.

¹¹ R has *tu* for *te*.

¹² R reads *tu* for *te*.

¹³ R adds *santaś ca bhāvāḥ*.

¹⁴ *janasvabhāvaṃ manyante*, R.

kuśālānām dharmāṇām dharmāvasthāvidobruvanti yadi ¹/

kuśalaṃ ² svabhāva evaṃ pravibhāgenābhidheyaḥ syāt // 52 //

kuśālānām dharmāṇām dharmāvasthāvidaḥ kuśalaṃ svabhāvaṃ ³ manyante / sa ca bhavatā pravibhāgenopadeṣṭavyaḥ syāt / ayaṃ sa kuśalaḥ svabhāvaḥ / ime te kuśālā dharmāḥ ⁴ / idaṃ tatkuśalaṃ vijñānam / ayaṃ sa ⁵ kuśalavijñānasvabhāvaḥ / evaṃ sarveṣāṃ / na caitadevaṃ drṣṭam ⁶ / tasmādyaduktaṃ yathāsvam-upadiṣṭaḥ ⁷ svabhāvo dharmāṇāmiti tanna /
kiṃ cānyat /

yadi ca pratītya kuśalaḥ svabhāva utpadyate sa kuśālānām / dharmāṇām parabhāvaḥ svabhāva evaṃ ⁸ katham bhavati // 53 //

yadi ca kuśālānām dharmāṇām svabhāvo hetupratyayasāmagrīm pratītyotpadyate sa ⁹ parabhāvādutpannaḥ kuśālānām dharmāṇām katham svabhāvo bhavati / evamevākuśalaprabhṛtīnām ¹⁰ / tatra yaduktaṃ kuśālānām ¹¹ dharmāṇām kuśalaḥ svabhāvo 'pyupa-diṣṭaḥ ¹², evamakuśālādīnām cākuśālādiriti ¹³ tanna /
kiṃ cānyat /

¹ *bruvate yat*, R, against the metre; the text follows the indications of C, but T has *gaṇ* (*yat*) and an optative would be better. Possibly therefore *bruvīran yat*.

² *kuśala*, R.

³ *janasvabhāvaṃ*, R.

⁴ R adds *iti*.

⁵ R and T omit *sa*, required by the context.

⁶ *na caitad upadiṣṭam*, T; but C as in text and R.

⁷ C and R omit *yathāsvam*, which seems required.

⁸ *eva*, R.

⁹ R om. *sa*.

¹⁰ T adds something like *yuktaṃ*.

¹¹ *kuśālāvyākṛtānām na*, R.

¹² R om. 'py u.

¹³ R omits *ca*, and T adds *svabhāva evopadiṣṭaḥ*.

atha na pratītya kiṃcitsvabhāva utpa-
dyate sa kuśalānām /
dharmāṇāmevaṃ syādvāso na brahmaca-
ryasya ¹ // 54 //

atha manyase na kiṃcitpratītya kuśalānām dharmāṇām kuśalaḥ ²
svabhāva utpadyate, evamakuśalānām dharmāṇāmakuśalaḥ, avyā-
kr̥tānāmavyākṛta ³ iti, evam satyabrahmacaryavāso bhavati / kiṃ
kāraṇam / pratītyasamutpādasya hyevaṃ sati pratyākhyānam
bhavati / pratītyasamutpādasya pratyākhyānāt pratītyasamut-
pādadarśana⁴pratyākhyānam bhavati / na hyavidyamānasya pra-
tītyasamutpādasya darśanamupapadyamānam bhavati / asati pra-
tītyasamutpādadarśane dharmadarśanam na bhavati / uktaṃ hi
bhagavatā yo hi bhikṣavaḥ pratītyasamutpādaṃ paśyati sa dharmam
paśyatīti ⁵ / dharmadarśanābhāvād brahmacyavāsābhāvaḥ /

athavā pratītyasamutpādapratyākhyānādduḥkhasamudayapratyā-
khyānam bhavati / pratītyasamutpādo hi duḥkhasya samudayaḥ /
duḥkhasamudayasya pratyākhyānādduḥkhapratyākhyānam bha-
vati / asati hi samudaye tat-kuto ⁶ duḥkham samudeśyati / duḥkha-
pratyākhyānāt ⁷ samudayapratyākhyānācca duḥkhanirodhasya pra-
tyākhyānam bhavati ⁸ / asati hi duḥkhasamudaye ⁹ kasya prahā-
ṇānnirodho bhaviṣyati / [duḥkhanirodhapratyākhyānānmārgasya
pratyākhyānam bhavati] ¹⁰ / asati hi duḥkhanirodhe kasya
prāptaye mārga bhaviṣyati duḥkhanirodhagāmī / evaṃ caturṇām-
āryasatyānāmabhāvaḥ / teṣāmbhāvāc¹¹chrāmaṇyaphalābhāvaḥ /

¹ Cf. MMK, xxiv, 18-30, for this passage.

² *kuśala*, R.

³ T abridges the two last clauses to *evaṃ cākuśalādīnām*.

⁴ *darśanam*, R; should it be *darśanasya*?

⁵ R om. *iti*. Quotation from the *Śālistambasūtra*.

⁶ T om. *tat*.

⁷ R om. *pratyākhyānāt*.

⁸ R om. *bhavati*.

⁹ T takes this compound as a dvandva.

¹⁰ Neither C, T, nor R have this sentence, which is essential to the context.

¹¹ R omits *teṣāmbhāvāc*, and has *eva* only instead.

satyadarśanācchrāmaṇya¹phalāni hi samadhigamyante² / śrāma-
ṇyaphalānāmabhāvādabrahmacaryavāsa iti³ /

kiṃ cānyat /

nādharmo dharmo vā samvyavahārāśca
laukikā na syuḥ /

nityāśca sasvabhāvāḥ⁴ syurnityatvāda-
hetumataḥ // 55 //

evam sati pratītyasamutpādaṃ pratyācakṣāṇasya bhavataḥ ko
doṣaḥ prasajyate / dharmo na bhavati / adharmo na bhavati /
samvyavahārāśca laukikā na bhavanti⁵ / kiṃ kāraṇam / pratītyasam-
utpannam hyetatsarvamasati⁶ pratītyasamutpāde kuto bha-
viṣyati⁷ / api ca sasvabhāvo⁸ 'pratītyasamutpanno nirhetuko
nityaḥ syāt / kiṃ kāraṇam⁹ / nirhetukā hi bhāvā¹⁰ nityāḥ / ¹¹sa eva
cābrahmacaryavāsaḥ prasajyeta¹² / svasiddhāntavirodhaśca¹³ /
kiṃ kāraṇam / anityā hi bhagavatā sarve saṃskārā nirdiṣṭāḥ / te
sasvabhāvanityatvānnityā¹⁴ hi bhavanti /

evama kuśa¹⁵leṣvavyākṛteṣu nairyāṇikā-
diṣu¹⁶ ca doṣaḥ /

tasmātsarvaṃ saṃskṛtamasaṃskṛtaṃ te
bhavatyeva¹⁷ // 56 //

¹ °darśanādiśrāma°, R.

² R om. *hi sama*.

³ T om. *iti*.

⁴ So C, rightly as the commentary shows; *sarvabhāvāḥ*, R; *sarvadharmāḥ*, T.
For the first line cf. MMK, xxiv, 33-36.

⁵ *sambhavanti*, R.

⁶ T adds *tasmin*.

⁷ *sambhaviṣyati*, T possibly.

⁸ C, T and R agree on the reading; sc. *bhāvāḥ*?

⁹ T omits *kiṃ kāraṇam*, but C shows it.

¹⁰ T omits *bhāvā*, which C has.

¹¹ R adds *tatra*.

¹² T omits *prasajyeta*, and R puts a *daṇḍa* before it.

¹³ T om. *sva*, and R om. *ca*.

¹⁴ R omits *sa*, which C and T have.

¹⁵ *eṣa cākuśa*°, R, against the metre.

¹⁶ *nairyāṇadiṣu*, R.

¹⁷ *evam*, R.

yaścaīṣa kuśaleṣu dharmeṣu ¹ nirdiṣṭaḥ kalpaḥ sa evākuśaleṣu,
 sa evāvyaṅkṛteṣu, sa eva nairyaṅikaprabhṛtiṣu ² / tasmātte ³ sarvam-
 idaṃ saṃskṛtamasamskṛtaṃ sampadyate / kiṃ kāraṇam / hetau
 hyasatyutpādasthitibhaṅgā na bhavanti / utpādasthitibhaṅgeṣv-
 asatsu ⁴ saṃskṛtalakṣaṇābhāvāt sarvaṃ saṃskṛtamasamskṛtaṃ saṃ-
 padyate / tatra yaduktaṃ kuśalādīnāṃ bhāvānāṃ svabhāvasadbhā-
 vādaśūnyāḥ sarvabhāvā iti tanna /

yatpunarbhavatoktaṃ

yadī ca na bhavetsvabhāvo dharmānāṃ niḥsvabhāva ityeva ⁵ /

nāmāpi bhavennaivaṃ ⁶ nāma hi nirvastukaṃ nāstīti //

atra brūmaḥ ⁷ /

y a ḥ s a d h b h ū t a ṃ n ā m ā t r a ⁸ b r ū y ā t s a -
 s v a b h ā v a i t y e v a m /

b h a v a t ā p r a t i v a k t a v y o n ā m a b r ū m a ś c a
 n a v a y a ṃ t a t // 57 //

yo nāmātra ⁹ sadbhūtaṃ brūyātsasvabhāva iti sa bhavatā
 prativaktavyaḥ syāt / yasya sadbhūtaṃ ¹⁰ nāma svabhāvasya
 tasmātenāpi svabhāvena sadbhūtena bhavitavyam ¹¹ / na hyasad-
 bhūtasya svabhāvasya ¹² sadbhūtaṃ nāma bhavatīti ¹³ / na punar-
 vayaṃ nāma sadbhūtaṃ brūmaḥ / tadapi hi bhāvasvabhāvasyā-

¹ R omits *dharmeṣu*, which C also has.

² R adds *doṣaḥ*, not in C or T; T adds *api* or *ca*.

³ R om. *te*.

⁴ *teṣv asatsu*, T.

⁵ *bhāvānāṃ na svabhāva ity evam*, R.

⁶ *bhaved evam*, R.

⁷ R om. *iti* / *atra brūmaḥ*.

⁸ e.c.; R and T om. *atra*.

⁹ R om. *atra*.

¹⁰ *sadbhūta*, R.

¹¹ This is R's version of the sentence, but *tasmāt* is clumsy; C simplifies and gives no help; T had something like *yady asadbhūto nāmavataḥ svabhāvas tasmāt tenāpi nāmāsadbhūtasvabhāvena bhavitavyam*.

¹² T om. *svabhāvasya*.

¹³ T om. *iti*.

bhāvānnāma niḥsvabhāvam¹, tasmācchūnyam², śūnyatvādasad-
bhūtam / tatra yadbhavatoktaṃ nāmasadbhāvātsadbhūtaḥ svabhāva
iti tanna /

kiṃ cānyat /

nāmāsaditi ca yadidaṃ tatkiṃ nu sato
bhavat yutāpyasataḥ³ /
yadi hi sato yadyasato dvidhāpi te
hīyate vādaḥ // 58 //

yaccaitannāmāsaditi tatkiṃ sato 'sato vā⁴ / yadi hi sataḥ tan-
nāma⁵ yadyasata ubhayathāpi pratijñā hīyate / tatra yadi tāvatsato
nāmāsaditi⁶ pratijñā hīyate / na hīdānīm tadasadidānīm sat /
athāsato 'saditi⁷ nāma⁸, asadbhūtasya nāma na bhavati⁹ /
tasmādyā pratijñā nāmnaḥ¹⁰ sadbhūtaḥ svabhāva iti sā hinā /

kiṃ cānyat /

sarveṣāṃ bhāvānāṃ śūnyatvaṃ copā-
pāditam pūrvam /
sa upālam bhastasmādbhāvatya yaṃ cā-
pratijñāyāḥ // 59 //

iha cāsmābhiḥ pūrvameva sarveṣāṃ bhāvānāṃ vistarataḥ
śūnyatvamupapāditam / tatra prāṇ nāmno 'pi śūnyatvamuktam /
sa bhāvānaśūnyatvaṃ¹¹ parigṛhya parivṛtto vaktum yadi bhāvānāṃ

¹ R omits *bhāva* before *svabhāva* and reads *niḥsvabhāvatvāt*.

² R om. *tasmāc*, but should the reading be *niḥsvabhāvatvāc*, as suggested by the readings in the previous note?

³ e.c.; *utāsataḥ*, R, against the metre; the alternative *uta vāsataḥ* would also be unmetrical.

⁴ *sataḥ asataḥ*, R.

⁵ R om. *tan*.

⁶ *tāvat sat* / *asad iti*, R.

⁷ *athāsat* / *asad iti*, R.

⁸ R inserts *yā pratijñā* wrongly here instead of in the next sentence.

⁹ R adds *astitvasvabhāva iti*.

¹⁰ R om. *yā pratijñā nāmnaḥ*.

¹¹ *saṃbhavaṃ aśūnyatvaṃ*, R; C as in text; T omits the entire sentence.

svabhāvo na syādasvabhāva iti nāmāpīdaṃ na syāditi ¹ tasmād-
apratijñō²pālambho ³ ‘yaṃ bhavataḥ sampadyate / na hi vayaṃ
nāma sadbhūtamiti brūmaḥ /

⁴yatpunarbhavatoktaṃ

atha vidyate svabhāvaḥ sa ca dharmāṇāṃ na vidyate tasmāt /
dharmairvinā svabhāvaḥ sa yasya tadyuktamupadeṣṭumiti //
atra brūmaḥ ⁵ /

a t h a v i d y a t e s v a b h ā v a ḥ s a c a d h a r m ā -
ṇ ā ṃ n a v i d y a t a i t ī d a m /
ā ś a ṅ k i t a ṃ y a d u k t a ṃ b h a v a t y a n ā ś a ṅ k i t a ṃ
t a c c a // 60 //

na hi vayaṃ dharmāṇāṃ svabhāvaṃ pratiśedhayāmo dharmā-
vinirmuktasya vā kasyacidarthasya svabhāvamabhyupagacchāmaḥ /
nanvevaṃ sati ya ⁶ upālambho bhavato yadi dharmā niḥsvabhāvāḥ
kasya khalvidānīmanyasyārthasya dharmavinirmuktasya svabhāvo
bhavati sa yuktamupadeṣṭumiti ⁷ dūrāpakṛṣṭamevaitadbhavati, upā-
lambho na bhavati ⁸ /

⁹yatpunarbhavatoktaṃ

sata eva pratiśedho nāsti ghaṭo geḥa ityayaṃ yasmāt /
dṛṣṭaḥ pratiśedho ‘yaṃ sataḥ svabhāvasya te tasmāditi //
atra brūmaḥ /

s a t a e v a p r a t i ś e d h o y a d i ś ū n y a t v a ṃ
n a n u p r a s i d d h a m ¹⁰ i d a m /

¹ C seems to have misunderstood and translates as if reading *asvabhāvaṃ nāma syāt*.

² *tasmād prati*°, R.

³ T adds *api*.

⁴ T inserts *anyac ca*.

⁵ R om. *iti* / *atra brūmaḥ*.

⁶ T om. *ya*.

⁷ °*dṛṣṭam iti*, R.

⁸ R om. *upālambho na bhavati*.

⁹ T inserts *anyac ca*.

¹⁰ *nanv apratisiddham*, R, against the metre.

pratiṣedhayate hi bhavān bhāvānām
niḥsvabhāvatvam // 61 //

yadi sata eva pratiṣedho bhavati nāsato bhavāṃśca sarvabhāvā-
nām¹ niḥsvabhāvatvam pratiṣedhayati, nanu prasiddham² sarva-
bhāvānām niḥsvabhāvatvam / tvadvacanena pratiṣedhasadbhāvān³
niḥsvabhāvatvasya ca sarvabhāvānām pratiṣiddhatvāt prasiddhā
śūnyatā⁴ /

pratiṣedhayase 'tha⁵ tvam śūnyatvam
tacca nāsti śūnyatvam /
pratiṣedhaḥ sata iti te nanveṣa⁶ vi-
hīyate vādaḥ // 62 //

atha⁷ pratiṣedhayasi tvam sarvabhāvānām niḥsvabhāvatvam
śūnyatvam nāsti tacca śūnyatvam, yā tarhi te pratijñā sataḥ
pratiṣedho bhavati nāsata iti sā hīnā /

kiṃ cānyat /

pratiṣedhayāmi nāham kiṃcit pratiṣe-
dhyamasti na ca kiṃcit /
tasmātpratiṣedhayasītyadhilaya eṣa⁸ tvayā
kriyate // 63 //

⁹yadyaḥ kiṃcitpratiṣedhayāmi tatastadapi tvayā¹⁰ yuktameva
vaktum syāt / na caivāham kiṃcitpratiṣedhayāmi, yasmānna¹¹

¹ R om. *bhavāṃś ca sarva*.

² *pratisiddham*, R.

³ R adds *yasi tvam* after *pratiṣedha*.

⁴ *pratiṣiddhā śūnyeti*, R.

⁵ *ṣedhayase atha*, R; alternatively read *ṣedhayasy atha*.

⁶ R om. *ṣa*.

⁷ R adds *śūnyatvam*.

⁸ *eva*, R. *Adhilaya* (preferably read *skur pa* for *bkur pa* in 'T'), "calumny", seems to be known only from Mādhyamika works; cf. *MMK*, Index s.v.

⁹ R inserts *evam api tu kṛtvā*.

¹⁰ R om. *tad api tvayā*; *pratiṣedhayeyam* would be better grammar than *pratiṣedhayāmi*.

¹¹ *tasmān na*, R. The text follows T in dividing the sentences, but it would be possible to take *yasmān* with the following *tasmāc*.

kiṃcitpratiṣeddhavyamasti ¹ / tasmācchūnyeṣu sarvabhāveṣvavidyamāne pratiṣedhye pratiṣedhe ca ² pratiṣedhayasītyeṣa tvayāprastuto ³ ‘dhilayaḥ kriyata iti ⁴ /

⁵yatpunarbhavatoktam

atha nāsti sa svabhāvaḥ kiṃ nu pratiṣidhyate tvayānena /
vacanenarte vacanātpatiṣedhaḥ sidhyate hyasata iti ⁶ //
atra brūmaḥ /

y a c c ā h a r t e ⁷ v a c a n ā d a s a t a ḥ p r a t i ṣ e d h a -
v a c a n a s i d d h i r i t i /
a t r a j ñ ā p a y a t e v ā g a s a d i t i t a n n a p r a t i -
n i h a n t i // 64 //

yacca bhavān bravīti, ṛte ‘pi ⁸ vacanādasataḥ pratiṣedhaḥ prasiddhaḥ, tatra kiṃ niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā ityetattadvacanam ⁹ karotīti, atra brūmaḥ / niḥsvabhāvāḥ sarvabhāvā ¹⁰ ityetatkhalu vacanam na niḥsvabhāvāneva ¹¹ sarvabhāvān karoti / kiṃtv-asati svabhāve bhāvā niḥsvabhāvā iti ¹² jñāpayati / tadyathā ¹³ kaściddbrūyāda vidyamānagrhe devadatte ‘sti ¹⁴ grhe devadatta iti / tatra inam kaścitpratibhrūyān nāstīti / na tadvacanam devadatta-

¹ T adds *ca* or *api* after *kiṃcit*.

² R om. *pratiṣedhe ca*, but C has it too.

³ e.c.; *tvayātra sadbhūto*, R. T does not show *atra*, and its *thog tu mi babs pa* means something like “missing the mark”, “not meeting the case”, and C translates “contrary to reason and perverse”. In view of R’s extraordinary distortions the conjectural restoration is palaeographically possible.

⁴ T om. *iti*.

⁵ T adds *anyac ca*.

⁶ R. om. *iti*.

⁷ *yac cāham te*, R; *yac cāha* is represented by *ze na* in T.

⁸ *sato pi*, R. T adds *asati ca vacane* before *ṛte*.

⁹ R om. *tvad*.

¹⁰ R om. *oḥ sarvabhāvā*.

¹¹ R om. *eva*.

¹² *kintv asatsvabhāvo bhāvānām asatsvabhāvānām iti*, R.

¹³ *tatra*, R.

¹⁴ *Devadattas tam asti*, R. *avidyamānagrha* is a curious compound but occurs in other texts.

syāsadbhāvaṃ ¹ karoti kiṃtu jñāpayati kevalamasambhavaṃ ²
gr̥he devadattasya ³ / tadvannāsti svabhāvo bhāvānāmityetad-
vacanaṃ na bhāvānām ⁴ niḥsvabhāvatvaṃ karoti kiṃtu sarva-
bhāveṣu ⁵ svabhāvasyābhāvaṃ jñāpayati / tatra yadbhavatoktaṃ
kimasati svabhāve nāsti svabhāva ityetadvacanaṃ karoti, ṛte 'pi
vacanāt prasiddhaḥ ⁶ svabhāvasyābhāva iti tanna ⁷ yuktam /

anyacca ⁸ /

bālānāmiva mithyā ⁹ mṛgaṭṛṣṇāyām ¹⁰ yathājalagrāhaḥ /

evaṃ mithyāgrāhaḥ syātte pratiśedhyato ¹¹ hyasataḥ //

ityādayo yā punaścatasro gāthā bhavatoktā ¹² atra brūmaḥ

m ṛ g a t ṛ ṣ ṇ ā d ṛ ṣ ṭ ā n t e y a ḥ p u n a r u k t a s ¹³ -

t v a y ā m a h ā m ś c a r c a ḥ /

t a t r ā p i n i r ṇ a y a m ś ṛ ṇ u y a t h ā s a d ṛ ṣ ṭ ā n t a

u p a p a n n a ḥ // 65 //

ya eta tvayā ¹⁴ mṛgaṭṛṣṇādr̥ṣṭānte mahāmścarca uktastatrāpi
yo nirṇayaḥ sa śrūyatām yathopapanna eṣa ¹⁵ dr̥ṣṭānto bhavati /

s a y a d i s v a b h ā v a t a ḥ s y ā d g r ā h o ¹⁶ n a

s y ā t p r a t i t y a s a m b h ū t a ḥ ¹⁷ /

¹ na ta Devadattasya sambhavaṃ, R; T shows that R has interchanged sambhava and asadbhāva in this sentence.

² asadbhāvaṃ, R.

³ R adds iti.

⁴ svabhāvānām, R.

⁵ R om. kiṃtu sarva. T interpolates a parallel with a māyāpuruṣa, which is not found in C or R.

⁶ prasiddhiḥ, R.

⁷ tat te na, R.

⁸ yad uktam, R.

⁹ R om. mithyā.

¹⁰ R adds sa.

¹¹ prasidhyate, R.

¹² yat punar bhavato mṛgaṭṛṣṇāyām ity, R.

¹³ uktam, R.

¹⁴ T adds tasmin.

¹⁵ R omits yathā and reads eva for eṣa.

¹⁶ bhāvo, R.

¹⁷ samudbhūtaḥ, R, against the metre.

yaśca pratītya bhavati grāho nanu
śūnyatā saiva // 66 //

yadi ¹ mṛgaṭṛṣṇāyām sa yathājalagrāhaḥ svabhāvataḥ syānna
syātpratītyasamutpannaḥ / yato mṛgaṭṛṣṇām ca pratītya viparītaḥ
ca darśanaḥ pratītyāyoniso manaskāraḥ ² ca pratītya syādudbhūto
'taḥ pratītyasamutpannaḥ / yataśca pratītyasamutpanno 'taḥ
svabhāvataḥ śūnya eva / yathā pūrvamuktaḥ tathā /
kiṃ cānyat /

yadi ca svabhāvataḥ syād grāhaḥ kastaḥ
nivartayed ³ grāham /
śeṣeṣvapyeṣa vidhistas mādeṣo ⁴ 'nupā-
lambhaḥ // 67 //

yadi ca mṛgaṭṛṣṇāyām jalagrāhaḥ svabhāvataḥ syāt ka eva taḥ
vinivartayet / na hi svabhāvaḥ śakyo vinivartayitum ⁵ yathā ⁶ gner-
uṣṇatvamapāḥ dravatvamākāśasya nirāvaraṇatvam / dṛṣṭaḥ cāśya
vinivartanam / tasmācchūnyasvabhāvo grāhaḥ ⁷ / yathā ⁸ caitad-
evaḥ śeṣeṣvapi dharmeṣveṣa kramaḥ pratyavagantavyo grāhya-
prabhṛtiṣu ⁹ pañcasu / tatra yadbhavatokaḥ ṣaṭkabhāvādaśūnyāḥ ¹⁰
sarvabhāvā iti tanna /

yatpunarbhavatokaḥ ¹¹

hetośca te na siddhirnaiḥsvābhāvvyātkuto hi te hetuḥ /
nirhetukasya siddhirna copapannāśya te 'rthasyeti //
atra brūmaḥ /

¹ R adds *ca*.

² T om. *pratītya*.

³ *vinivartayed*, R, against the metre.

⁴ *tasmād oṣo*, R.

⁵ *nivartayitum*, R.

⁶ *tathā*^o, R.

⁷ *grāhyaḥ*, R.

⁸ *yadā*, R.

⁹ *pravyttiṣu*, R.

¹⁰ R omits *tokaḥ ṣaṭkabhā*, and T omits *bhavatā*.

¹¹ T has *anyac ca* instead.

etena hetvabhāvaḥ pratyuktaḥ pūrvam-
eva sa samatvāt/
mṛgatṛṣṇādr̥ṣṭāntavyāvṛttividhau ya uk-
taḥ prāk // 68 //

etena cedānīm carcena pūrvoktena hetvabhāvo 'pi pratyukto¹
'vagantavyaḥ / ya eva hi carcaḥ pūrvasmin hetāvuktaḥ ṣaṭkapraṭiṣe-
dhasya sa evehāpi² carcayitavyaḥ /

yatpunarbhavatoktaṁ
pūrvam cetpraṭiṣedhaḥ paścātpraṭiṣedhyamityanupapannam /
paścācānupapanno yugapacca yataḥ svabhāvaḥ sanniti³ //
atra brūmaḥ /

yastraikālye hetuḥ pratyuktaḥ pūrvam-
eva sa samatvāt/
traikālyapraṭiḥetuśca śūnyatāvādinām
prāptaḥ // 69 //

ya eva⁴ hetustraikālye praṭiṣedhavācī sa uktotaraḥ pratyava-
gantavyaḥ⁵ / kasmāt / sādhyasamatvāt / tathā hi tvadvacanena
praṭiṣedhastraikālye 'nupapannapraṭiṣedhavatsa praṭiṣedhyo 'pi⁶ /
tasmāt praṭiṣedhapraṭiṣedhye 'sati yadbhavān⁷ manyate praṭiṣe-
dhaḥ praṭiṣiddha⁸ iti tanna / yastrikālapraṭiṣedhavācī⁹ hetureṣa
eva śūnyatāvādinām prāptaḥ sarvabhāvasvabhāvapraṭiṣedhaka-
tvān¹⁰ na bhavataḥ /

¹ R om. *ukto*.

² R om. *dhasya sa eve*.

³ *svabhāvo* 'san, R and T (cf. note on verse 20). R om. *iti*.

⁴ e.c.; *eṣa*, R; T omits the word.

⁵ *pratyavamantaḥ*, R; T omits the word, but C has it.

⁶ R mutilates this sentence, reading *yathā hi praṭiṣedhas traikālye nopapa-
praṭiṣedhapraṭiṣedhe 'pi*. C supports T, having "Just as the *praṭiṣedha* of the
traikālyā is *anupapanna*, (your) words too are included among the *praṭiṣedhapra-
tiṣedhya* things".

⁷ R om. *yad*.

⁸ *pratiṣi*, R; C seems to have had *prāptaḥ*.

⁹ *yataś ca iṣa trikāla*⁹, R; *yaś ca trikāla*⁹ might be better.

¹⁰ T om. *svabhāva*.

athavā kathametaduktottaram /

pratiṣedhayāmi nāhaṃ kiṃcitpratiṣedhyamasti na ca kiṃcit /
tasmāt pratiṣedhayasītyadhilaya eṣa tvayā kriyate //

iti pratyuktam ¹ / atha manyase triṣvapi kālēṣu pratiṣedhaḥ
siddhaḥ ², dṛṣṭaḥ pūrvakālīno 'pi hetuḥ, uttarakālīno 'pi, yuga-
patkālīno 'pi hetuḥ, tatra ³ pūrvakālīno heturyathā ⁴ pitā putrasya ⁵,
paścātkālīno yathā śiṣya ācāryasya, yugapatkālīno yathā pradīpaḥ
prakāśasyetyatra brūmaḥ / na ca itadevam / uktā ⁶ hyetasmin krame
trayaḥ ⁷ pūrvadoṣāḥ / api ca yadyevam ⁸, pratiṣedhasadbhā-
vastvayābhypagamyate ⁹ pratijñāhāniśca te bhavati / etena krameṇa
svabhāvapratiṣedho 'pi siddhaḥ ¹⁰ /

prabhavati ca śūnyateyaṃ yasya pra-
bhavanti tasya sarvārthāḥ /
prabhavati na tasya kiṃcinna prabha-
vati ¹¹ śūnyatā yasya ¹² // 70 //

yasya śūnyateyaṃ prabhavati tasya sarvārthā laukikalokottarāḥ
prabhavanti / kiṃ kārāṇam / yasya hi śūnyatā prabhavati tasya
pratītyasamutpādaḥ prabhavati / yasya pratītyasamutpādaḥ pra-
bhavati tasya catvāryāryasatyāni prabhavanti / yasya catvāryāryasa-
tyāni prabhavanti tasya ¹³ śrāmaṇyaphalāni prabhavanti ¹⁴, sarva-

¹ R om. *pratyuktam*.

² R omits *pratiṣedhaḥ siddhaḥ*, which C has too.

³ *katham*, R.

⁴ R om. *hetur*.

⁵ R inserts *tvadvacanena*.

⁶ *na ca ita eva yuktā*, R.

⁷ R omits *krame*, and T omits *trayaḥ* which C has.

⁸ R adds *kramaḥ*.

⁹ *abhāvātve yā*, R. T adds *tasminn asiddhe*.

¹⁰ R omits *etena krameṇa* and 'pi *siddhaḥ*; C has the latter.

¹¹ *kinna bhavati*, R (two syllables short). For the verse cf. *MMK*, xxiv, 14; Candrakīrti's commentary there follows closely Nāgārjuna's commentary here.

¹² R adds *iti*.

¹³ R omits *prabhavanti tasya*, which is found in C and in T.

¹⁴ R om. *pra*.

viśeṣādhigamāḥ prabhavanti ¹ / yasya sarvaviśeṣādhigamāḥ prabhavanti tasya trīṇi ratnāni buddhadharmasaṃghāḥ prabhavanti / yasya pratītyasamutpādaḥ prabhavati tasya dharmo dharmahetur-dharmaphalaṃ ca prabhavanti ², tasyādharmo 'dharmaheturadharma-phalaṃ ca prabhavanti / yasya dharmādharmau dharmādharmahetū dharmādharmaphale ca prabhavanti ³ / tasya kleśaḥ kleśasamudayaḥ kleśavastūni ⁴ ca prabhavanti / yasyaitatsarvaṃ prabhavati ⁵ pūrvoktaṃ tasya sugatidurgativyavasthā sugatidurgatigamaṇaṃ sugatidurgatigāmī mārgaḥ ⁶ sugatidurgativyatikramaṇaṃ ⁷ sugatidurgativyatikramopāyaḥ sarvasaṃvyavahārāśca laukikā vyavasthāpitāḥ ⁸ / svayamadhigantavyā anyā diśā kiṃ-cicchakyaṃ vacanenopadeṣṭumiti ⁹ /

bhavati cātra

yaḥ śūnyatāṃ pratītyasamutpādaṃ madhyamāṃ pratipadaṃ ca¹⁰ /
ekārthāṃ nijagāda praṇamāmi tamapratimabuddham ¹¹ //
iti ¹² kṛtiriyamācāryanāgārjunapādānām //

¹ R om. *pra.* T abridges these three sentences, reading *yasya hi śūnyatā prabhavati tasya pratītyasamutpādaś catvāry āryasatyāni śrāmaṇyaphalāni sarvaviśeṣādhigamāḥ prabhavanti*; but C corroborates R, except that it omits the reference to the *śrāmaṇyaphalāni*.

² *prabhavati*, R, and again in the next clause. C inserts *yasya dharmo dharmahetuś ca dharmaphalaṃ ca prabhavanti*.

³ R omits this clause, which both C and T have, but the exact wording is uncertain.

⁴ *°vastuno*, R.

⁵ R om. *pra.*

⁶ *sattvaḥ*, T; C perhaps read *dharmāḥ*. The reading is therefore uncertain.

⁷ R adds *gamaṇa* after *durgati*.

⁸ R om. *vyavasthāpitāḥ*.

⁹ R omits *na*, and T omits *iti*.

¹⁰ *pratipadam anekārthāṃ*, R, against the metre and leaving the next line defective.

¹¹ *apratimasamabuddham*, R, against the metre.

¹² T om. *iti*.

INDEX OF KĀRIKĀS

atha tu pramāṇasiddhir 45
 atha te pramāṇasiddhyā 46
 atha na pratītya kiṃcit 54
 atha nāsti sa svabhāvaḥ 12
 atha naivāsti grāho 15
 atha matamapekṣya siddhis 42
 athavā nirmītakāyāṃ 27
 athavā sādhyasamo 'yaṃ 28
 atha vidyate svabhāvaḥ 10, 60
 atha sasvabhāvametaḥ 2
 atha hetorastitvaṃ 19
 anapekṣya hi prameyān 41
 anumānaṃ pratyuktaṃ 6
 anyairyaḍi pramāṇaiḥ 32
 aprāpto 'pi jvalano 39
 utpadyamāna eva 38
 etena hetvabhāvaḥ 68
 evamakuśaleṣv 56
 kuśca pitā kaḥ putraḥ 50
 kuśalānāṃ dharmānāṃ 7, 52
 teṣāmatha pramāṇair 33
 nanvevaṃ satyasti 14
 na svābhāvīkametaḥ 24
 nādharmo dharmo vā 55
 nāmāsaditi ca yadidaṃ 58
 nāsti tamaśca jvalane 37
 nirmītakā nirmītakam 23
 nairyaṇīkasvabhāvo 8
 naiḥsvābhāvyaṇāṃ cen 26
 naiva svataḥ prasiddhir 51
 pitrā yadyutpādyāḥ 49
 pūrvam cetpratiśedhaḥ 20
 pratiśedhaḥ pratiśedhyaṃ 16

pratiśedhapratiśedho 4
 pratiśedhayase 'tha tvaṃ 62
 pratiśedhayāmi nāhaṃ 63
 pratyakṣeṇa hi tāvat 5
 prabhavati ca śūnyateyaṃ 70
 bālānāmiva mithyā 13
 mā śabdavaditi nāyaṃ 25
 mā śabdavadityetaḥ 3
 mṛgatṛṣṇādrṣṭānte 65
 yaḥ sadbhūtaṃ nāmātra 57
 yaccāharte vacanād 64
 yadi kācana pratijñā 29
 yadi kiṃcidupalabheyaṃ 30
 yadi ca na bhavetsvabhāvo 9
 yadi ca pratītya kuśalaḥ 53
 yadi ca pramāṇataste 31
 yadi ca prameyasiddhir 44
 yadi ca svaparātmānau 36
 yadi ca svabhāvataḥ syāt 67
 yadi ca svātmānamayaṃ 35
 yadi cāhetor siddhiḥ 18
 yadi svataśca pramāṇa 40
 yaśca pratītyabhāvo 22
 yastraikālye hetuḥ 69
 viśamopanyāso 'yaṃ 34
 sata eva pratiśedho 11, 61
 sa yadi svabhāvataḥ syāt 66
 sarveṣāṃ bhāvānāṃ 1, 59
 sidhyanti ca prameyair 48
 sidhyanti hi pramāṇair 47
 sidhyanti hi prameyaṇy 43
 hetupratyayasāmagryāṃ 21
 hetośca te na siddhir 17